



US006081786A

United States Patent [19]

[11] Patent Number: 6,081,786

Barry et al.

[45] Date of Patent: Jun. 27, 2000

[54] SYSTEMS, METHODS AND COMPUTER PROGRAM PRODUCTS FOR GUIDING THE SELECTION OF THERAPEUTIC TREATMENT REGIMENS

[75] Inventors: David W. Barry, Chapel Hill; Carolyn S. Underwood, Cary; Bruce J. McCreedy, Raleigh; David D. Hadden, Durham, all of N.C.; Jason L. Lucas, West Chester, Pa.

[73] Assignee: Triangle Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Durham, N.C.

[21] Appl. No.: 09/283,702

[22] Filed: Apr. 1, 1999

Related U.S. Application Data

[60] Provisional application No. 60/080,629, Apr. 3, 1998.

[51] Int. Cl.⁷ G06F 17/60

[52] U.S. Cl. 705/3; 705/2; 706/45; 706/46; 706/47; 706/924

[58] Field of Search 705/3, 2, 1; 706/45, 706/10, 46, 47, 61, 924

[56] References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,839,822	6/1989	Dormond et al.	706/45
4,868,763	9/1989	Masui et al.	706/10
5,299,121	3/1994	Brill et al.	705/2
5,342,922	8/1994	Marshall et al.	530/329
5,355,444	10/1994	Chirico	706/45
5,517,405	5/1996	McAndrew	705/2
5,594,638	1/1997	Iliff	705/3
5,660,176	8/1997	Iliff	600/300
5,672,154	9/1997	Sillén et al.	604/505
5,694,950	12/1997	McMichael	128/898
5,737,539	4/1998	Edelson et al.	705/3
5,845,255	12/1998	Mayaud	705/3

(List continued on next page.)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Evans et al., *A Computer-Assisted Management Program for Antibiotics and Other Antiinfective Agents*, The N.E. Jour. of Med., vol. 338, No. 4, pp. 232-238 (Jan. 22, 1998).

Abstract, *Infectious Disease Alert*, Am. Health Consul., vol. 17, No. 11, pp. 81-82 (Mar. 1, 1998).

Pazzani et al., *Application of an Expert System in the Management of HIV-Infected Patients*, Jour. of Acq. Immune Def. Syndromes and Human Retrovirology, vol. 15, No. 5, pp. 356-362(1997).

Miller et al., *Summary Recommendations for Responsible Monitoring and Regulation of Clinical Software Systems*, Annals of Internal Medicine, vol. 127, No. 9, pp. 842-845 (Nov. 1, 1997).

Ziporyn, Terra, "Computer-Assisted Medical Decision-Making: Interest Growing", The Journal of the American Medical Association, pp. 913-918, Aug. 27, 1982.

Barnett, G. et al. "DXplain; An Evolving Diagnostic Decision-Support System", The Journal of the American Medical Association, pp. 67-74, Jul. 3, 1987.


(List continued on next page.)

Primary Examiner—Emanuel Todd Voeltz
Assistant Examiner—John W. Hayes
Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec

[57] ABSTRACT

Systems, methods and computer program products for guiding selection of a therapeutic treatment regimen for a known disease such as HIV infection are disclosed. The method comprises (a) providing patient information to a computing device (the computer device comprising: a first knowledge base comprising a plurality of different therapeutic treatment regimens for the disease; a second knowledge base comprising a plurality of expert rules for selecting a therapeutic treatment regimen for the disease; and a third knowledge base comprising advisory information useful for the treatment of a patient with different constituents of the different therapeutic treatment regimens; and (b) generating in the computing device a listing (preferably a ranked listing) of therapeutic treatment regimens for the patient; and (c) generating in the computing device advisory information for one or more treatment regimens in the listing based on the patient information and the expert rules.

66 Claims, 22 Drawing Sheets



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,908,383	6/1999	Brynjestand	600/300
5,911,132	6/1999	Sloane	705/3
5,924,074	7/1999	Evans	705/3
5,950,630	9/1999	Portwood et al.	705/3

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Wyatt, Jeremy, "Computer-based Knowledge Systems", *Lancet*, vol. 338, No. 8780, pp. 1431-1437, Dec. 7, 1991.

"UCSF Launches Website 'HIV InSite', A Comprehensive Online gateway to AIDS Knowledge", *Business Wire, Dialog File 148:Trade & Industry Database*, Mar. 17, 1997.

Evans et al, "A Computer-Assisted Management Program for Antibiotics and Other Antiinfective Agents", *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 338, No. 4, pp. 232-238, Jan. 22, 1998.

Abstract, "Infectious Disease Alert", *American Health Consultants*, vol. 17, No. 11, pp. 81-82, Mar. 1, 1998.

Pazzani et al, "Application of an Expert System in the Management of HIV-Infected Patients", *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes and Human Retrovirology*, vol. 15, No. 5, pp. 356-362, 1997.

Miller et al, Summary Recommendations for Responsible Monitoring and Regulation of Clinical Software Systems, *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 127, No. 9, pp. 842-845, Nov. 1, 1997.

International Search Report, PCT Application No. PCT/US99/07171 (Oct. 22, 1999).




FIG. 1.




FIG. 2.




FIG. 3.

50

50a 60a 70a 51 52 53

△TPMS Patient

Medical History | Chart | Therapy Evaluation

General Entry

Patient Id: TPMS Number: Weight (kg) Date Value

Birth Date: Gender: Can Take Solid Dosage Date Value

54

CD4 and Viral Load

(cells/cubic mm)	<input type="button" value="+"/>	<input type="button" value="H"/>	Specimen Date	Value	Specimen Date	Prev Value
Viral Load (copies/ml)	<input type="button" value="+"/>	<input type="button" value="H"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

AIDS Diagnosis 55

Date AIDS Defining Event 56

Current ARV Therapy 57

Non-Arv Drugs

Therapy Drug	Start Date
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

HIV Genotype

Phenotype

ARV Allergy

Intolerance

Hemoglobin Specimen Date Value (g/dL)

Neuropathy Date Value

Neutrophils Specimen Date cells/cubic mm


Pancreatitis Date Value

Hepatic Function

Renal Function

Specimen Date	AST/SGOT (IU/L)	ALT/SGPT (IU/L)	Specimen Date	Dialysis	Serum Creatinine	Est. Creatinine
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

FIG. 4.



60

61

60a

70a

61

62

63

64

FIG. 5.

71 60a 72 70a 75 70

△ TPMS Patient

Medical History | Chart | Therapy Evaluation

Evaluate Current Therapy > AZT, 3TC, IDV

Show 1-Drug Therapies Show 3-Drug Therapies Show Rejected Therapies
 Show 2-Drug Therapies Show 4-Drug Therapies Show EAP Therapies

Therapy Options (10 of 17)

Therapy	Eff.	Adj.	Safety Considerations	Med	Drug	Freq.	Pills	Cost
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ddl, d4T, NFV	2	2	ddl Renal dos.Adj, d4T Renal dos.adj	Y		q8h	15	\$30.38
△ ddl, d4T, IDV	3	6	ddl Renal dos.Adj, d4T Renal dos.adj, IDV Renal d...	Y		q8h	12	\$26.80
△ ddl, d4T, RTV	4	7	ddl Renal dos.Adj, d4T Renal dos.adj	Y		q12h	18	\$34.06
△ d4T, SQV-SGC, NFV	5	8	d4T Renal dos.adj	Y		q8h	29	\$45.60
○ ddl, SQV-SGC, NFV	5	8	ddl Renal dos.Adj			q8h	31	\$42.24
△ ddC, SQV-SGC, NFV	5	8	ddC Renal dos.adj, tobramycin+ddC		Y	q8h	29	\$42.72
△ ddC, d4T, NFV	8	8	ddC Renal dos.adj, d4T Renal dos.adj, tobramycin+...	Y	Y	q8h	13	\$30.86
△ ddl, d4T, SQV-SGC	6	9	ddl Renal dos.Adj, d4T Renal dos.adj	Y		q8h	24	\$31.24

76

80 Antiretroviral Drugs Clear All Drugs

Nucleoside Analogues (NRTI)

AZT (Retrovir/zidovudine)

ddI (Videx/didanosine)

ddC (Hivid/zalcitabine)

3TC (Epivir/lamivudine)

d4T (Zerit/stavudine)

ABC (Ziagen/abacavir)

Protease Inhibitors (PI)

IDV (Crixivan/indinavir)

SQV-HGC (Invirase/saquinavir)

77a 77

See More | See All | Top 10 | Full Screen Evaluation

TO FIG. 6B.

FIG. 6A.

FROM FIG. 6A.

Therapy Being Evaluated

ddl, d4T, IDV

< Use as Current Therapy 78

Recommended Dosages 74

- Videx 125mg q 12h (4 pills/day, \$4.22/day)
- Zerit 15mg q 12h (2 pills/day, \$7.58/day) 73
- Crixivan 800 mg q 8h (6 pills/day, \$15.00/day)

() indicates adjusted dosage)

Warning - Resistance Notices

- d4T: Resistance Advisory: Cross Resistance: The patient has at least one previous exposure to AZT that was greater than one year in duration. Previous AZT exposure can lessen the antiRetroviral effect of d4T due to cross resistance. Therapies containing d4T have been ranked lower in their AdjustedScore by +3. 79
FitRankB, Commentary 259
- Resistance advisory: IDV: According to the last genotype data entered, the patient's virus currently has the following secondary mutation(s), (L101[P], I54V[P], and I84V[P]) which is/are associated with resistance to IDV. These mutations alone are not enough to preclude the use of IDV but they do indicate a trend in this direction. IDV is still an option but ongoing IDV use may result in a more rapid emergence of complete resistance. The Adjusted Score of IDV has been lowered by +3.

TPMS

FIG. 6B.

Icon	Meaning
○	Indicates that there were no critical alerts for the therapy, however, general warnings and advisories should be read in the Therapy Details box.
Ⓞ	Indicates that there were no critical alerts for the therapy, however, general warnings and advisories should be read in the Therapy Details box. The book indicates that therapy has been studied and a reference is available to review.
△	Indicates a yellow alert. There is important information about this therapy that must be reviewed.
⚠	Indicates a yellow alert. There is important information about this therapy that must be reviewed. The book indicates that therapy has been studied and a reference is available to review.
!	Indicates a red alert, which means critical and possible life-threatening situation may exist or may be created with this therapy. Information in the Therapy Details section must be read for this therapy to be considered.
!Ⓞ	Indicates a red alert, which means critical and possible life-threatening situation may exist or may be created with this therapy. Information in the Therapy Details section must be read for this therapy to be considered. The book indicates that therapy has been studied and a reference is available to review.
X	Indicates the therapy is not recommended.

FIG. 7.




FIG. 8.




FIG. 9.

50a 60a 70a

50

F2

54a

54b

F1

TPMS Patient

Medical History | Chart | Therapy Evaluation

General Entry Comment Pop

Patient Id: demo Birth date: 1/1/1960 TPMS Number: Weight (kg) 3/3/1999 55.00
Physician: Gender: Male Solid Dosage 3/1/1999 Yes

CD4 and Viral Load

Specimen Date	Value	Specimen Date	Prev Value
3/1/1999	320	1/1/1999	340
3/1/1999	12000		
1/1/1999	500		

AIDS Diagnosis

Date	AIDS Defining Event

Current ARV Therapy

6/1/1999 AZT, 3TC, IDV

Non-ARV Drugs

Therapy Drug	Route	Start Date
tobramycin		1/1/1999

HIV Genotype Phenotype Allergy/Hyper Intolerance

Hemoglobin

Specimen Date	Value (g/dL)
3/1/1999	12.00

Neutrophils

Specimen Date	cells/cubic mm
3/1/1999	1500

Neuropathy

Date	Value
3/1/1999	No

Pancreatitis

Date	Value
3/1/1999	No

Hepatic Function

Specimen Date	AST/SGOT (IUL)	ALT/SGPT (IUL)
3/1/1999	49	49

Renal Function

Specimen Date	Dialysis	Serum Creatinine	Est. Creatinine
3/1/1999	No	2.00	38.58

TPMS

FIG. 10A.

TPMS Patient [Icons]

Medical History | Chart | Therapy Evaluation

Evaluate Current Therapy: AZT, 3TC, IDV

Show 1-Drug Therapies
 Show 3-Drug Therapies
 Show Rejected Therapies
 Show 2-Drug Therapies
 Show 4-Drug Therapies
 Show EAP Therapies

Therapy Options (10 of 98)

Therapy	Eff.	Adj.	Safety Considerations	Freq.	Pills	Cost
△ ddi, d4T, NFV	2	2	ddi Renal dos.Adj, d4T Renal dos.adj	q8h	15	\$30.38
△ ddi, d4T, RTV	4	4	ddi Renal dos.Adj, d4T Renal dos.adj	q12h	18	\$34.06
△ NVP, ABC, EFV	5	5	NVP Renal dos.Adj, EFV+Renal Dysf	q8h	9	\$44.32
△ DLV, ABC, EFV	5	5	EFV+Renal Dysf	q8h	19	\$43.21
△ NFV, ABC, EFV	5	5	EFV+Renal Dysf	q8h	16	\$54.40
△ NFV, NVP, EFV	5	5	NVP Renal dos.Adj, EFV+Renal Dysf	q8h	17	\$46.41

Antiretroviral Drugs Clear All Drugs

Nucleoside Analogues (NRTI)

AZT (Retrovir/zidovudine)
 ddi (Videx/didanosine)
 ddC (Hivid/zalcitabine)
 3TC (EpiVir/lamivudine)
 d4T (Zerit/stavudine)
 ABC (Ziagen/abacivir)


Protease Inhibitors (PI)

See More | See All | Top 10 | Full Screen Evaluation


Therapy Being Evaluated: AZT, 3TC, IDV <Use as Current Therapy


// // //
CAUTION
YELLOW ALERT
CAUTION
// // //

• AZT △: Medical Condition Alert: This patient has a history of anemia. Use Retrovir with caution due to risk of hematologic toxicity. More Info 171
 FilRankC, Commentary171

 Recommended Dosages


73

- Retrovir 300mg q12h (2 pills/day, \$9.56/day)
-  EpiVir 150mg q24h (1 pills/day, \$3.84/day)
- Crixivan 800 mg q8h (6 pills/day, \$15.00/day)

() indicates adjusted dosage


Warning - Resistance Notices

- Resistance Advisory: Retrovir and EpiVir ranked lower (+2) due to historical virological failure. More Info 364 FilResF13, Commentary364

TPMS 

70

FIG. 10B.



60

FIG. 10C.

△ TPMS Patient _ [X]

Medical History | Chart | Therapy Evaluation

General + [H] Entry Comment Popup

		Date	Value
Patient Id: demo1	Birth date: 1/1/1960	3/3/1999	55.00
Physician:	Gender: Male	3/1/1999	Yes

Print Save Solid Dosage + [H]

CD4 and Viral Load

(cells/cubic mm) + [H]

Current Viral Load + [H]

Previous Viral Load

Sp

HIV Genotype + [H]

Phenotype + [H]

Allergy/Hyper + [H]

Intolerance + [H]

Hemoglobin

Specimen Date

+ [H] 3/1/1999

Neutrophils

Specimen Date

+ [H] 3/1/1999

Hepatic Function

Specimen Date

3/1/1999 49

Boundry and Prequalification Messages [X]

Please be aware that the following boundry and prequalification conditions currently apply to this patient

OK Cancel

Therapy Initiation/Change Messages

- Poor Viral Suppression △: The patient's viral load count either did not decrease $\geq .5$ log from the last point or is not below the viral load reduction goal. Unless lab error is at fault, consider changing therapy. More Info PQ1 PreQualA6, Commentary445

Data Needed Soon - Caution

No Baseline Viral Load Value: Please specify which viral load value or values (an average of two points) you wish to be set as the baseline viral load value for this patient.
BoundsZY, Commentary411a

TPMS

70

MB1

FIG. 10D.

60a 70a

TPMS Patient 50

Medical History | Chart | Therapy Evaluation

General + H Entry Comment PopUp

Patient Id: ARV naive1 Birth date: 1/5/1968 TPMS Number: Weight (kg) + H 2/1/1999 73.00
 Physician: Gender: Male Print Save Solid Dosage + H 2/1/1999 Yes

CD4 and Viral Load		AIDS Diagnosis	
CD4 (cells/cubic mm)	Specimen Date	Value	Specimen Date
<input type="checkbox"/> + <input type="checkbox"/> H	2/20/1999	350	12/23/1998
Current Viral Load	2/20/1999	31000	VL Units: C/mL
Previous Viral Load	12/29/1998	19000	VL Units: C/mL

HIV Genotype		AIDS Defining Event	
Specimen Date	Value(s)	Date	AIDS Defining Event
<input type="checkbox"/> + <input type="checkbox"/> H			
Phenotype			
Allergy/Hyper			
Intolerance			

Hemoglobin		Neuropathy	
Specimen Date	Value (g/dL)	Date	Value
<input type="checkbox"/> + <input type="checkbox"/> H	2/1/1999	12.50	<input type="checkbox"/> + <input type="checkbox"/> H 2/1/1999 No

Neutrophils		Pancreatitis	
Specimen Date	cells/cubic mm	Date	Value
<input type="checkbox"/> + <input type="checkbox"/> H	2/1/1999	1350	<input type="checkbox"/> + <input type="checkbox"/> H 2/1/1999 No

Hepatic Function		Renal Function	
Specimen Date	AST/SGOT (IU/L)	Specimen Date	Dialysis
2/1/1999	35	2/1/1999	No
	ALT/SGPT (IU/L)	Serum Creatinine	Est. Creatinine
	35	1.00	110.51

Current ARV Therapy V D + X H

Non-ARV Drugs + X H

Therapy Drug	Route	Start Date
Prozac Pulvules & Liquid, O...	oral	10/5/1998
Bactrim DS Tablets	oral	12/8/1998

TPMS

FIG. 11A.

△ TPMS Patient 70a

Medical History | Chart | Therapy Evaluation

General + H Entry Comment Popup

	Date	Value
Patient Id: APV name1	Birth date: 1/5/1968	TPMS Number: <input type="text"/>
Physician: <input type="text"/>	Gender: Male	Weight (kg) <input type="checkbox"/> + <input type="checkbox"/> H 2/1/1999 73.00
		Solid Dosage <input type="checkbox"/> + <input type="checkbox"/> H 2/1/1999 Yes

CD4 and Viral Load + H

(cells/cubic mm) + H

Current Viral Load + H

Previous Viral Load + H

HIV Genotype + H

Phenotype + H

Allergy/Hyper + H

Intolerance + H

Hemoglobin

Specimen Date + H 3/1/1999

Neutrophils

Specimen Date + H 2/1/1999

Hepatic Function

Specimen Date 2/1/1999 49

Boundry and Prequalification Messages

Please be aware that the following boundry and prequalification conditions currently apply to this patient

Therapy Initiation/Change Messages MB2

- Therapy Initiation: Current treatment guidelines recommend initiation of antiRetroviral therapy for HIV-infected patients with HIV RNA (viral load) concentrations greater than 20,000 copies/ml (10,000 Eq/ml bDNA) or CD4 counts less than 500 cells/uL (Ann. Int. Med., 1998). PreQualM, Commentary61
- Combination Therapy Recommended: Experts agree that the goal of antiRetroviral therapy should be to reduce the viral load to as low a level as possible for as long as possible. Initiation of therapy with a combination containing 2 nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTI's) and a potent protease inhibitor have been shown to provide enhanced clinical benefit versus 2 drug combinations with regard to reduction in viral load and improved clinical outcomes. PreQualM, Commentary66

TPMS

70

MB2

FIG. 11B.

△ TPMS Patient [Close]

Medical History | Chart | Therapy Evaluation

Evaluate Current Therapy: None

Show 1-Drug Therapies Show 2-Drug Therapies Show 3-Drug Therapies Show 4-Drug Therapies Show Rejected Therapies Show EAP Therapies

Therapy Options (10 of 613)

Therapy	Eff.	Adj.	Safety Considerations	Freq.	Pills	Cost
<input checked="" type="radio"/> AZT, ddI, 3TC, SQV-SGC	1	1		q8h	26	\$43.46
<input type="radio"/> d4T, 3TC, NFV	1	1		q8h	13	\$34.78
<input checked="" type="radio"/> AZT, 3TC, IDV	1	1		q8h	10	\$32.24
<input checked="" type="radio"/> AZT, 3TC, NFV	1	1		q8h	13	\$35.81
<input checked="" type="radio"/> d4T, 3TC, IDV	1	1		q8h	10	\$31.20
<input type="radio"/> AZT, ddI, RTV, DLV	2	2	DLV+RTV	q8h	30	\$45.99
<input type="radio"/> ddI, d4T, IDV, NVP	2	2		q8h	17	\$42.55
<input type="radio"/> d4T, 3TC, RTV	2	2		q12h	16	\$38.46
<input type="radio"/> AZT, ddI, RTV, NVP	2	2		q12h	20	\$47.10

76

Antiretroviral Drugs Clear All Drugs

Nucleoside Analogues (NRTI)

AZT (Retrovir/zidovudine)

ddI (Videx/didanosine)

ddC (Hivid/zalcitabine)

3TC (Epivir/lamivudine)

d4T (Zerit/stavudine)

ABC (Ziagen/abacavir)

Protease Inhibitors (PI)

IDV (Crivan/indinavir)

SQV-HGC (Invirase/saquinavir)

SQV-SGC (Forovase/saquinavir)

See More | See All | Top 10 Full Screen Evaluation

Therapy Being Evaluated: None <Use as Current Therapy

General Messages

- WARNING::Before initiating any antiRetroviral treatment regimen, the complete product information for each therapeutic component should be consulted. CmtGenY, Commentary35
- Viral Load Testing Required: Viral load testing should be repeated 21-35 days after initiation of, or a change of, antiRetroviral therapy to evaluate therapeutic efficacy and patient compliance. CmtGenY, Commentary65

Therapy Initiation/Change Messages

- Therapy Initiation: Current treatment guidelines recommend initiation of antiRetroviral therapy for HIV-infected patients with HIV RNA (viral load) concentrations greater than 20,000 copies/ml (10,000 Eq/ml bDNA) or CD4 counts less than 500 cells/uL. (Ann.Int.Med., 1998). PreQualM, Commentary61
- Combination Therapy Recommended: Experts agree that the goal of antiRetroviral therapy should be to reduce the viral load to as low a level as possible for as long as possible. Initiation of therapy with a combination containing 2 nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTI's) and a potent protease inhibitor have been shown to provide enhanced clinical benefit versus 2 drug combinations with regard to reduction in viral load and improved clinical outcomes. PreQualM, Commentary66

TPMS [Icons]

FIG. 11C.




FIG. 11D.

△ TPMS Patient [Close]

Medical History | Chart | Therapy Evaluation

Evaluate Current Therapy:
 Show 1-Drug Therapies
 Show 3-Drug Therapies
 Show Rejected Therapies
 Show 2-Drug Therapies
 Show 4-Drug Therapies
 Show EAP Therapies

Therapy Options (10 of 613)

Therapy	Show Abstract for Retrovir	Freq.	Pills	Cost		
<input checked="" type="radio"/> AZT, ddI, 3TC, SQV-S	Show Abstract for Videx	q8h	26	\$43.46		
<input type="radio"/> d4T, 3TC, NFV	Show Abstract for Efavir	q8h	13	\$34.78		
<input checked="" type="radio"/> AZT, 3TC, IDV	Show Abstract for Fortovase	q8h	10	\$32.24		
<input checked="" type="radio"/> AZT, 3TC, NFV	Show Therapy Study	q8h	13	\$35.81		
<input checked="" type="radio"/> d4T, 3TC, IDV	Print Details for AZT, ddI, 3TC, SQV-SGC	q8h	10	\$31.20		
<input type="radio"/> AZT, ddI, RTV, DLV	Print Top 10 Therapy Option Details	q8h	30	\$45.99		
<input type="radio"/> ddI, d4T, IDV, NVP	Print All Therapy Option Summaries	q8h	17	\$42.55		
<input type="radio"/> d4T, 3TC, RTV	Print Top 10 Therapy Option Summaries	q12h	16	\$38.46		
<input type="radio"/> AZT, ddI, RTV, NVP	Hide Column "Eff."	q12h	20	\$47.10		

Antiretroviral Drugs Clear All Drugs

Nucleoside Analogues (NRTI)

AZT (Retrovir/zidovudine)

ddI (Videx/didanosine)

ddC (Hivid/zalcitabine)

3TC (EpiVir/lamivudine)

d4T (Zerit/stavudine)

ABC (Ziagen/abacavir)

Protease Inhibitors (PI)

IDV (Crivon/indinavir)

SQV-HGC (Invirase/saquinavir)

SQV-SGC (Fortovase/saquinavir)

[Use as Current Therapy](#)

See More | See All | T

Therapy Being Evaluated:

General Message


- **WARNING:** Before initiating any antiRetroviral treatment regimen, the complete product information for each therapeutic component should be consulted. CmtGenY, Commentary35
- **Viral Load Testing Required:** Viral load testing should be repeated 21-35 days after initiation of, or a change of, antiRetroviral therapy to evaluate therapeutic efficacy and patient compliance. CmtGenY, Commentary65

Therapy Initiation/Change Messages

- **Therapy Initiation:** Current treatment guidelines recommend initiation of antiRetroviral therapy for HIV-infected patients with HIV RNA (viral load) concentrations greater than 20,000 copies/ml (10,000 Eq/ml bDNA) or CD4 counts less than 500 cells/uL (Ann.Int.Med., 1998). PreQualIM, Commentary61
- **Combination Therapy Recommended:** Experts agree that the goal of antiRetroviral therapy should be to reduce the viral load to as low a level as possible for as long as possible. Initiation of therapy with a combination containing 2 nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTI's) and a potent protease inhibitor have been shown to provide enhanced clinical benefit versus 2 drug combinations with regard to reduction in viral load and improved clinical outcomes. PreQualIM, Commentary66

TPMS

FIG. 11E.



60

FIG. 12A.

△ TPMS Patient [Close] [Print]

Medical History | Chart | Therapy Evaluation

Evaluate Current Therapy: 3TC, d4T, NVP

Show 1-Drug Therapies Show 3-Drug Therapies Show Rejected Therapies
 Show 2-Drug Therapies Show 4-Drug Therapies Show EAP Therapies

Therapy Options (10 of 98)

Therapy	Eff.	Adj.	Safety Considerations	Freq.	Pills	Cost	
△ ddl, d4T, NFV	2	2	Rifabutin+NFV	q8h	15	\$33.88	
○ ddl, d4T, EFV	5	5		q12h	9	\$28.44	
△ ddl, NFV, EFV	5	5	Rifabutin+NFV	q8h	16	\$38.50	
△ d4T, NFV, EFV	5	5	Rifabutin+NFV	q8h	14	\$40.24	76
△ ddC, NFV, EFV	5	7	Rifabutin+NFV	q8h	15	\$38.77	
○ ddC, d4T, EFV	5	7		q8h	8	\$28.71	

Antiretroviral Drugs Clear All Drugs

Nucleoside Analogues (NRTI)

- AZT (Retrovir/zidovudine)
- ddC (Hivid/zalcitabine)
- 3TC (EpiVir/lamivudine)
- d4T (Zerit/stavudine)
- ABC (Ziagen/abacavir)

Protease Inhibitors (PI)

See More | See All | Top 10 | Full Screen Evaluation

Therapy Being Evaluated: 3TC, d4T, NVP <Use as Current Therapy

!!!THERAPY REJECTED!!!

This therapy was rejected for the following reason(s) Additional information about the therapy is provided but this therapy is NOT advisable

- Viramune (nevirapine/NVP) Resistance Advisory: According to the last genotype data entered, the patient's virus currently has mutation(s) which is/are associated with resistance to Viramune. *FillMutE, Rejection54*
- Resistance Advisory: According to the last genotype data entered, the patient's virus currently has the following mutations; M184V [RT]. the genotype test displays evidence of the M184V/M184I mutation which is associated with resistance to 3TC. However, this mutant has increased sensitivity to the antiRetroviral activity of AZT and ADV so an AZT/3TC or AZT/ADV combination is still useable. Therefore combinations which contain AZT/3TC and AZT/ADV are shown as therapy options although these therapies have been ranked down +5 in favor of three drug combinations with no resistant mutants. *FillMutB, Rejection51*
- EpiVir and Viramune Resistance Advisory: The patient's last phenotypic assay demonstrates phenotypic resistance to EpiVir and Viramune, therefore, therapies containing EpiVir and Viramune are not recommended at this time. *FillResC, Rejection42*

CAUTION YELLOW ALERT CAUTION

- NVP△ :Drug Interaction Alert: Patient is currently taking rifabutin and there is insufficient data to assess whether dose adjustments are necessary. These drugs

TPMS [Home] [Help] [Print] [Close]

FIG. 12B.

A2

W3

TPMS Patient [-] [X]			
Medical History Chart Therapy Evaluation			
General <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Entry <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Comment Popop <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Date Value			
Patient Id:	Features1	Birth date:	1/1/1960
TPMS Number:		Weight (kg)	60.00
Physician:	patient	Gender:	Male
Print	Save	Solid Dosage	Yes
CD4 and Viral Load		AIDS Diagnosis <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
CD4 (cells/cubic mm)	Specimen Date	Value	Specimen Date
3/15/1999	240	1/28/1999	265
Current Viral Load	Specimen Date	Value	VL Units:
3/15/1999	21500	1/28/1999	C/mL
Previous Viral Load	Specimen Date	Value	VL Units:
1/28/1999	2600	1/28/1999	C/mL
HIV Genotype <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		AIDS Defining Event	
3/15/1999		Date	
[L10][P], [M46][P], [I54V][P], [V82A][P], [M41L][RT], Y181		AIDS Defining Event	
Ph <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		Current ARV Therapy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
AI		11/4/1998	
In		[3TC, d4T, NVP] 54c	
• NVPΔ: Drug Interaction Alert: Patient is currently taking rifabutin and there is insufficient data to assess whether dose adjustments are necessary. These drugs should only be used in combination if clearly indicated and with careful monitoring. CmiDIP, Commentary33		Non-ARV Drugs <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
Hemoglobin		Non-ARV Drugs	
Specimen Date		Start Date	
Value(g/dL)		5/1/1999	
1/28/1999		5/1/1999	
15.00		5/1/1999	
Neutrophils		W3	
Specimen Date		Date	
cells/cubic mm		Value	
1/28/1999		1/28/1999	
1500		No	
Neuropathy		Pancreatitis	
Date		Date	
Value		Value	
1/28/1999		1/28/1999	
No		No	
Hepatic Function <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		Renal Function <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
Specimen Date		Specimen Date	
AST/SGOT (IU/L)		Dialysis	
ALT/SGPT (IU/L)		Serum Creatinine	
1/28/1999		No	
25		1.00	
25		Est. Creatinine	
		84.17	
TPMS			

FIG. 12C.

**SYSTEMS, METHODS AND COMPUTER
PROGRAM PRODUCTS FOR GUIDING THE
SELECTION OF THERAPEUTIC
TREATMENT REGIMENS**

RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/080,629 filed Apr. 3, 1998.

A portion of the disclosure of this patent document contains material that is the subject of copyright protection. The copyright owner does not object to the reproduction of the patent disclosure as it appears in the public patent files of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, but otherwise reserves all other rights in the copyrighted material.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention concerns systems, methods and computer program products for guiding the selection of therapeutic treatment regimens for complex disorders such as cancer and HIV-1 infection, wherein a ranking of available treatment regimens is generated and advisory information clinically useful for treating patients is provided.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Therapeutic treatment regimens for disorders such as HIV-1 infection (acquired immune deficiency syndrome or AIDS) and cancer are increasingly complex. New data and new therapeutic treatment regimens continue to modify the treatments available, and it is difficult for all but the specialist to remain current on the latest treatment information. Further, even those who are current on the latest treatment information require time to assimilate that information and understand how it relates to other treatment information in order to provide the best available treatment for a patient. Combination therapeutic treatment regimens exacerbate this problem by making potential drug interactions even more complex. Finally, an increasingly sophisticated patient population, in the face of a vast volume of consumer information on the treatment of disease, makes the mere statement of a treatment regime, without explanation, difficult for the patient to accept.

R. Miller et al., *Summary Recommendations for Responsible Monitoring and Regulation of Clinical Software Systems*, Ann. Intern. Med. 127, 842-845 (1997), describes policy guidelines indicating the desirability of systems that generate advice for clinician users in a manner that users can easily override. Solutions to this need are neither suggested nor disclosed.

M. Pazzani et al., *Application of an Expert System in the Management of HIV-Infected Patients*, J. Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes and Human Retrovirology 15, 356-362 (1997) (accepted May 12, 1997), describes a rule-based expert system by which protease, reverse transcriptase, and integrase segments of HIV are cloned and entered into an expert system that recommends two, three, and four drug regimens. A means for easily overriding the advice given is neither suggested nor disclosed.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,672,154 to Sillen describes a method for giving patients individualized, situation-dependent medication advice. The recommended type of medicine may include at least two different medicines. No means for ranking multiple treatment options is disclosed, and no means for explaining why treatment options were rejected is given. Rather, this system is primarily concerned with

generating new rules from patient information to optimize a particular therapy for diseases such as Parkinson's disease, epilepsy and abnormal blood pressure.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,694,950 to McMichael describes a method and system for use in treating a patient with immunosuppressants such as cyclosporin. An expert system is employed to generate a recommendation on whether the immunosuppressant dosage should be changed and, if so, how. Ranking or selection among a plurality of different combination therapeutic treatment regimens is not suggested.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,594,638 to Iliff describes a medical diagnostic system that provides medical advice to the general public over a telephone network. This system is not concerned with generating a recommendation for a combination therapeutic treatment regimen for a known disease (see also U.S. Pat. No. 5,660,176 to Iliff).

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In view of the foregoing, an object of the invention is to provide systems, methods and computer program products for selecting therapeutic treatment regimens for patients in which available treatments are listed, and optionally ranked, while unavailable or rejected treatment regimens (e.g., regimens that would not be effective, or would be dangerous) are not displayed or are assigned a low rank and are indicated to a user as not likely to be efficacious, or not preferred due to patient-specific complicating factors such as drug interaction from concomitant medications.

A further object of the invention is to provide systems, methods and computer program products for selecting treatment regimens in which the available treatment options can be readily understood.

A further object of the invention is to provide systems, methods and computer program products for selecting treatment regimens in which the implications of selecting a particular treatment regimen can be readily understood.

A further object of the invention is to provide systems, methods and computer program products for selecting treatment regimens in which the reasons for rejection of a particular regimen can be readily understood.

A still further object of the invention is to provide systems, methods and computer program products for obtaining information about the efficacy of previous treatment regimens imposed on patients.

A method of the present invention includes providing patient information to a computing device that includes various knowledge bases. For example, a first knowledge base may include a plurality of different therapeutic treatment regimens for a disease or medical condition. A second knowledge base may include a plurality of expert rules for selecting a therapeutic treatment regimen for the disease or medical condition. A third knowledge base may include advisory information useful for the treatment of a patient with different constituents of different therapeutic treatment regimens. A fourth knowledge base may include information about past therapies, such as how a patient has fared under previous therapies.

A listing (preferably a ranked listing) of therapeutic treatment regimens for a patient is generated in the computing device. Advisory information for one or more treatment regimens in the listing is generated in the computing device based on the patient information and the expert rules.

In a preferred embodiment, the method described above further includes entering a user-defined therapeutic treatment regimen for the disease (or medical condition) that

may not be displayed from the system knowledge base-generated therapeutic treatment regimens, and generating in the computing device advisory information for the user-defined combination therapeutic treatment regimen.

In addition, in a preferred embodiment, the method described above further includes entering a rejected therapeutic treatment regimen for the disease (or medical condition) that is included in the first knowledge base but not recommended from the ranking (or given a very low ranking), and generating in the computing device advisory information for the non-recommended/low ranked therapeutic treatment regimen, wherein the advisory information includes at least one reason for not recommending (or low ranking) the therapeutic treatment regimen.

Further objects and aspects of the present invention are explained in detail in the drawings herein and the specification set forth below.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The accompanying drawings, which are incorporated in and constitute a part of the specification, illustrate embodiments of the invention and, together with the description, serve to explain principles of the invention.

FIG. 1 illustrates a process of the instant invention, including routines for entering a user-defined therapeutic treatment regimen and for entering a "non-recommended" therapeutic treatment regimen.

FIG. 2 schematically illustrates a system or apparatus of the present invention.

FIG. 3 illustrates a client-server environment within which the system of FIG. 2 may operate, according to an embodiment of the present invention, and wherein a central server is accessible by at least one local server via a computer network, such as the Internet, and wherein each local server is accessible by at least one client.

FIG. 4 illustrates a medical history user interface for entering data about a patient's medical history according to the present invention.

FIG. 5 illustrate a user interface chart for monitoring a patient's condition during a particular therapeutic treatment regimen over a period of time according to the present invention.

FIG. 6 illustrates a therapy evaluation user interface that facilitates evaluation of various therapeutic treatment regimen options with respect to relative efficacy, individualized adjusted relative efficacy, dosage, frequency, cost, medical complications and drug interactions according to the present invention.

FIG. 7 illustrates various symbols for providing information about a therapeutic treatment regimen option within the therapy list box of the therapy evaluation user interface of FIG. 6 according to the present invention.

FIG. 8 illustrates the therapy details box of FIG. 6 in "full screen" mode.

FIG. 9 illustrates a pop-up menu including an indexed electronic link to a PDR® that can be activated from within the therapy list box of the therapy evaluation user interface of FIG. 6 according to the present invention.

FIGS. 10A–10D illustrate various functions of the present invention as described in Example 1.

FIGS. 11A–11E illustrate various functions of the present invention as described in Example 2.

FIGS. 12A–12C illustrate various functions of the present invention as described in Example 3.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present invention now will be described more fully hereinafter with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which preferred embodiments of the invention are shown. This invention may, however, be embodied in many different forms and should not be construed as limited to the embodiments set forth herein; rather, these embodiments are provided so that this disclosure will be thorough and complete, and will fully convey the scope of the invention to those skilled in the art. Like numbers refer to like elements throughout.

As will be appreciated by one of skill in the art, the present invention may be embodied as a method, data processing system, or computer program product. Accordingly, the present invention may take the form of an entirely hardware embodiment, an entirely software embodiment, or an embodiment combining software and hardware aspects. Furthermore, the present invention may take the form of a computer program product on a computer-usable storage medium having computer readable program code means embodied in the medium. Any suitable computer readable medium may be utilized including, but not limited to, hard disks, CD-ROMs, optical storage devices, and magnetic storage devices.

The present invention is described below with reference to flowchart illustrations of methods, apparatus (systems), and computer program products according to an embodiment of the invention. It will be understood that each block of the flowchart illustrations, and combinations of blocks in the flowchart illustrations, can be implemented by computer program instructions. These computer program instructions may be provided to a processor of a general purpose computer, special purpose computer, or other programmable data processing apparatus to produce a machine, such that the instructions, which execute via the processor of the computer or other programmable data processing apparatus, create means for implementing the functions specified in the flowchart block or blocks.

These computer program instructions may also be stored in a computer-readable memory that can direct a computer or other programmable data processing apparatus to function in a particular manner, such that the instructions stored in the computer-readable memory produce an article of manufacture including instruction means which implement the function specified in the flowchart block or blocks.

The computer program instructions may also be loaded onto a computer or other programmable data processing apparatus to cause a series of operational steps to be performed on the computer or other programmable apparatus to produce a computer implemented process such that the instructions which execute on the computer or other programmable apparatus provide steps for implementing the functions specified in the flowchart block or blocks.

A method of the instant invention is illustrated in FIG. 1. In the first step 10, the patient is examined to determine patient information. Examples of patient information that may be gathered include one or more of gender, age, weight, CD4⁺ cell information, viral load information, HIV genotype and phenotype information, hemoglobin information, neuropathy information, neutrophil information, pancreatitis, hepatic function, renal function, drug allergy and intolerance information, and information for drug treatments for other conditions. The information may include historical information on prior therapeutic treatment regimens for the disease or medical condition. While the patient

is typically examined on a first visit to determine the patient information, it will be appreciated that patient information may also be stored in the computing device, or transferred to the computing device from another computing device, storage device, or hard copy, when the information has been previously determined.

The patient information is then provided **11** to a computing device that contains a knowledge base of treatments, contains a knowledge base of expert rules for determining available treatment options for the patient in light of the patient information, and also contains a knowledge base of advisory information. A list of available treatments for the patient is then generated **12** from the patient information and the available treatments by the expert rules, and advisory information for the available treatments is generated **13**. The advisory information may include warnings to take the patient off a contraindicated drug or select a suitable non contraindicated drug to treat the condition before initiating a corresponding treatment regimen and/or information clinically useful to implement a corresponding therapeutic treatment regimen.

For example, when the known disease is HIV-1 infection, the treatment regimen includes antiretroviral drugs, and the treatment regimen or advisory information may also include contraindicated or potentially adversely interacting non-antiretroviral drugs. Particularly, when the treatment regimen includes a protease inhibitor. A contraindicated drug may be terfenadine. When the treatment regimen includes indinavir, a contraindicated drug is cisapride.

Exemplary antiretroviral drugs are listed below in Table 1.

TABLE 1

Abbreviation	Formal Name	Generic Name
ABC	ZIAGEN ®	Abacavir
ADV	PREVEON ®	Adefovir
APV	AGENERASE ®	Amprenavir
AZT	RETROVIR ®	Zidovudine
ddI	VIDEX ®	Didanosine
ddC	HIVID ®	Zalcitabine
d4T	ZERIT ®	Stavudine
EFV	SUSTIVA ®	Efavirenz
3TC	EPIVIR ®	Lamivudine
SQV	INVIRASE ®	Saquinavir
	FORTOVASE ®	
IDV	CRIXIVAN ®	Indinavir
RTV	NORVIR ®	Ritonavir
DLV	RESCRIPTOR ®	Delavirdine
NFV	VIRACEPT ®	Nelfinavir
NVP	VIRAMUNE ®	Nevirapine

Exemplary advisory information that can be displayed to a user is summarized below in Table 2.

TABLE 2

Description	
Drug Therapies (All the output data types below are associated with a therapy)	The inference engine will process every therapy from a resource file which contains all valid therapy combinations. The system will support multiple drug combinations. Those therapies which are recommended by the knowledge base will be displayed along with all the data types below.
Commentaries	Commentaries consist of warnings and advisories concerning drugs as well as various patient conditions. Each commentary will appear in specific locations of the User Interface. Commentaries will have various Flags, Triggers, and Output Locations.

TABLE 2-continued

Description	
5 Rejection Notices	Rejection Notices are the explanation why a given therapy is not recommended. Rejection notices always appear in predefined places in the User Interface.
Cost	The cost per day is calculated for each therapy by the inference engine as well as each drug cost within a therapy.
10 Dosage	The base dosage and any adjustments to the base dosage due to various patient conditions are calculated by the inference engine.
Pill Burden	The number of pills in the therapy.
15 Frequency	Number of times the patient will be taking medications for a given therapy. For a multi-drug therapy, the Frequency of the therapy is the drug in the therapy that has the highest number of Frequencies. If a three-drug regimen has 2 drugs with q12h dosages and one that is a q8h, the therapy is considered to be a q8h Frequency.
Admin Efficacy	Special drug administration instructions. The relative Efficacy is a whole number that represents the relative efficacy of the various therapies. One is the most effective therapy.
20 Adjusted Score	The "Adjusted Score" is the Efficacy adjusted up or down based on patient specific characteristics to roughly indicate the likelihood of that therapy being an effective treatment for that patient. An example would be: the system evaluates a therapy containing a drug that is known to be associated with a medical condition in that patient's medical history, therefore the therapy is ranked low. The Ranking Ordinal is an integer, beginning with 0 and having no upper limit. A therapy with a 1 Ranking Ordinal (RO = 1) would be ranked at the top of the list whereas a therapy with a 10 Ranking Ordinal (RO = 10) would be less likely to be successful given the patient's specific history and characteristics. Each therapy will have a starting RO number which will be the therapy's relative efficacy score. The relative efficacy score can then be adjusted up or down by the rules. Both base "Efficacy" number and the "Adjusted Score" number can be displayed.
35	

Diseases (or medical conditions), the treatment of which may be facilitated or improved by the present invention, are those for which multiple different therapy options are available for selection and treatment. Such diseases and medical conditions include, but are not limited to, cardiovascular disease (including but not limited to congestive heart failure, hypertension, hyperlipidemia and angina), pulmonary disease (including but not limited to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma, pneumonia, cystic fibrosis, and tuberculosis), neurologic disease (including but not limited to Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, epilepsy, multiple sclerosis, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis or ALS, psychoses such as schizophrenia and organic brain syndrome, neuroses, including anxiety, depression and bipolar disorder), hepatitis infections (including hepatitis B and hepatitis C infection), urinary tract infections, venereal disease, cancer (including but not limited to breast, lung, prostate, and colon cancer), etc. It should be appreciated that prevention of development or onset of the above-mentioned diseases and medical conditions may be facilitated or improved by the present invention.

The present invention is useful for known diseases such as HIV-1 infection (acquired immune deficiency syndrome or "AIDS"), or where the known disease is any medical condition for which a combination therapeutic treatment regimen can be used. The invention is particularly useful when the list of available treatments includes a plurality (e.g., 2, 10 or 15 or more) of treatment, combination therapeutic treatment regimens (e.g., therapeutic treatment regimens incorporating two or more active therapeutic agents), where the potential for drug interactions is increased and/or the complexity involved in selecting the best available treatment is multifactorial.

Advantageously, the list of available treatments and advisory information may be regenerated in a number of ways. The patient information may be simply modified 18. In addition, if a particular therapy in which the user might be interested is not presented, a user-defined therapy may be entered 14 and advisory information generated 15 based on the user-defined therapy. Still further, if a therapeutic treatment regimen that is in the knowledge base is rejected by the system (not recommended upon display), the non-recommended therapeutic treatment regimen may be entered 16 and advisory information generated 17 for the non-recommended therapeutic treatment regimen. This may indicate to the user that they should discontinue use of a non-critical drug for another condition or select a suitable substitute that does not create a conflict/non-recommended situation so that they can then proceed with the therapy of choice. Alternatively, the advisory information can be generated automatically for non-recommended therapeutic treatment regimens. These various steps can be repeated in any sequence in an interactive manner to provide the user with assurance that all treatment options have been given adequate and appropriate consideration.

The terms "therapy" and "therapeutic treatment regimen" are interchangeable herein and, as used herein, mean any pharmaceutical or drug therapy, regardless of the route of delivery (e.g., oral, intravenous, intramuscular, subcutaneous, intraarterial, intraperitoneal, intrathecal, etc.), for any disease (including both chronic and acute medical conditions, disorders, and the like). In addition, it is understood that the present invention is not limited to facilitating or improving the treatment of diseases. The present invention may be utilized to facilitate or improve the treatment of patients having various medical conditions, without limitation.

System Description

The present invention may be embodied as an expert system that provides decision support to physicians (or other health care providers) treating patients with a known disease, such as HIV infection. A system according to the present invention calculates combination antiretroviral therapy options and attaches all relevant information to those options.

As known to those of skill in the art, an expert system, also known as artificial intelligence (AI), is a computer program that can simulate the judgment and behavior of a human or an organization that has expert knowledge and experience in a particular field. An expert system typically contains a knowledge base containing accumulated experience and a set of rules for applying the knowledge base to each particular situation that is described to the program. Expert systems are well known to those of skill in the art and need not be described further herein.

The antiretroviral therapy options (combinations of antiretroviral drugs), are derived using a knowledge base consisting of a number of expert system rules and functions which in turn take into account a given patient's treatment history, current condition and laboratory values. A system according to the present invention supports the entry, storage, and analysis of patient data in a large central database. A system according to the present invention has a flexible data driven architecture and custom reporting capabilities designed to support patient therapy management and clinical drug trial activities such as screening, patient tracking and support. It is anticipated that a system according to the present invention may be used by health care providers

(including physicians), clinical research scientists, and possibly healthcare organizations seeking to find the most cost-effective treatment options for patients while providing the highest standard of care.

A system 20 for carrying out the present invention is schematically illustrated in FIG. 2. The system 20 comprises a knowledge base of treatment regimens 21, which may be ranked for efficacy (e.g., by a panel of experts) or ranked according to system rules, a knowledge base of expert rules 22, a knowledge base of advisory information 23, a knowledge base of patient therapy history 24 and patient information 25. Patient information is preferably stored within a database and is configured to be updated. The knowledge bases and patient information 21-25 may be updated by an input/output system 29, which can comprise a keyboard (and/or mouse) and video monitor. Note also that, while the knowledge bases and patient data 21-25 are shown as separate blocks, the knowledge bases and patient data 21-25 can be combined together (e.g., the expert rules and the advisory information can be combined in a single database).

To carry out the method described above, the information from blocks 21-25 is provided to an inference engine 26, which generates the listing of available treatments and the corresponding advisory information from the information provided by blocks 21-25. The inference engine 26 may be implemented as hardware, software, or combinations thereof. Inference engines are known and any of a variety thereof may be used to carry out the present invention. Examples include, but are not limited to, those described in U.S. Pat. No. 5,263,127 to Barabash et al. (Method for fast rule execution of expert systems); U.S. Pat. No. 5,720,009 to Kirk et al. (Method of rule execution in an expert system using equivalence classes to group database objects); U.S. Pat. No. 5,642,471 to Paillet (Production rule filter mechanism and inference engine for expert system); U.S. Pat. No. 5,664,062 to Kim (High performance max-min circuit for a fuzzy inference engine).

High-speed inference engines are preferred so that the results of data entered are continually updated as new data is entered. As with the knowledge bases and patient information in blocks 21-25, the inference engine 26 may be a separate block from the knowledge bases and patient information blocks 21-25, or may be combined together in a common program or routine.

Note that the advisory information that is generated for any available therapy may differ from instance to instance based on differences in the patient information provided.

System Architecture

The present invention can be implemented as a system running on a stand alone computing device. Preferably, the present invention is implemented as a system in a client-server environment. As is known to those of skill in the art, a client application is the requesting program in a client-server relationship. A server application is a program that awaits and fulfills requests from client programs in the same or other computers. Client-server environments may include public networks, such as the Internet, and private networks often referred to as "intranets", local area networks (LANs) and wide area networks (WANs), virtual private networks (VPNs), frame relay or direct telephone connections. It is understood that a client application or server application, including computers hosting client and server applications, or other apparatus configured to execute program code embodied within computer usable media, operates as means for performing the various functions and carries out the methods of the various operations of the present invention.

Referring now to FIG. 3, a client-server environment 30 according to a preferred embodiment of the present invention is illustrated. The illustrated client-server environment 30 includes a central server 32 that is accessible by at least one local server 34 via a computer network 36, such as the Internet. A variety of computer network transport protocols including, but not limited to TCP/IP, can be utilized for communicating between the central server 32 and the local servers 34.

Central Server

The central server 32 includes a central database 38, such as the Microsoft® SQL Server application program, version 6.5 (available from Microsoft, Inc., Redmond, Wash.), executing thereon. The central server 32 ensures that the local servers 34 are running the most recent version of a knowledge base. The central server 32 also stores all patient data and performs various administrative functions including adding and deleting local servers and users to the system (20, FIG. 2). The central server 32 also provides authorization before a local server 34 can be utilized by a user. Patient data is preferably stored on the central server 32, thereby providing a central repository of patient data. However, it is understood that patient data can be stored on a local server 34 or on local storage media.

Local Server

Each local server 34 typically serves multiple users in a geographical location. Each local server 34 includes a server application, an inference engine, one or more knowledge bases, and a local database 39. Each local server 34 performs artificial intelligence processing for carrying out operations of the present invention. When a user logs on to a local server 34 via a client 35, the user is preferably authenticated via an identification and password, as would be understood by those skilled in the art. Once authenticated, a user is permitted access to the system (20, FIG. 2) and certain administrative privileges are assigned to the user.

Each local server 34 also communicates with the central server 32 to verify that the most up-to-date version of the knowledge base(s) and application are running on the requesting local server 34. If not, the requesting local server 34 downloads from the central server 32 the latest validated knowledge base(s) and/or application before a user session is established. Once a user has logged onto the system (20, FIG. 2) and has established a user session, all data and artificial intelligence processing is preferably performed on a local server 34. An advantage of the illustrated client-server configuration is that most of the computationally intensive work occurs on a local server 34, thereby allowing "thin" clients 35 (i.e., computing devices having minimal hardware) and optimizing system speed.

In a preferred embodiment, each local server database 39 is implemented via a Microsoft® SQL Server application program, Version 6.5. The primary purpose of each local database 39 is to store various patient identifiers and to ensure secure and authorized access to the system (20, FIG. 2) by a user. It is to be understood, however, that both central and local databases 38, 39 may be hosted on the central server 32.

Local Client

Each local client 35 also includes a client application program that consists of a graphical user interface (GUI) and a middle layer program that communicates with a local server 34. Program code for the client application program

may execute entirely on a local client 35, or it may execute partly on a local client 35 and partly on a local server 34. As will be described below, a user interacts with the system (20, FIG. 2) by entering (or accessing) patient data within a GUI displayed within the client 35. The client 35 then communicates with a local server 34 for analysis of the displayed patient information.

Computer program code for carrying out operations of the present invention is preferably written in an object oriented programming language such as JAVA®, Smalltalk, or C++. However, the computer program code for carrying out operations of the present invention may also be written in conventional procedural programming languages, such as the "C" programming language, in an interpreted scripting language, such as Perl, or in a functional (or fourth generation) programming language such as Lisp, SML, or Forth.

The middle layer program of the client application includes an inference engine within a local server 34 that provides continuous on-line direction to users, and can instantly warn a user when a patient is assigned drugs or a medical condition that is contraindicated with, or antagonistic of, the patient's current antiretroviral therapy. Every time patient data is entered into the system (20, FIG. 2) or updated, or even as time passes, the inference engine evaluates the current status of the patient data, sorting, categorizing, ranking and customizing every possible antiretroviral therapy for a patient according to the specific needs of the patient.

Inference Engine

Inference engines are well known by those of skill in the art and need not be described further herein. Each knowledge base used by an inference engine according to the present invention is a collection of rules and methods authored by a clinical advisory panel of HIV-treating physicians and scientists. A knowledge base may have subjective rules, objective rules, and system-generated rules. Objective rules are based on industry established facts regarding the treatment of HIV using antiretroviral therapy and are drawn from the package insert information of antiretroviral drug manufacturers and from peer reviewed and published journal articles. An example of an objective rule would be an antiretroviral to antiretroviral contraindication such as:

Rule #1: If the eval therapy contains Zidovudine (AZT) and Stavudine (d4T), then reject the therapy.

In Rule #1, the term "eval therapy" refers to the therapy currently being analyzed by the system (20, FIG. 2). Rule #1 then states that if this therapy contains both AZT and d4T, then this therapy should not be displayed in a list of potential therapy options for the patient.

For objective rules, the present invention can be configured so as to prevent a user from receiving recommendations on new therapy options when certain crucial data on the patient has not been entered. However, it is understood that the present invention does not prevent a health care provider, such as a physician, from recording his/her therapy decisions, even if the system (20, FIG. 2) has shown reasons why that therapy may be harmful to the patient. The present invention allows a health care provider to be the final authority regarding patient therapy.

Subjective rules are based on expert opinions, observations and experience. Subjective rules are typically developed from "best practices" information based on consensus opinion of experts in the field. Such expert opinion may be

based on knowledge of the literature published or presented in the field or their own experience from clinical practice, research or clinical trials of approved and unapproved medications. A number of experts are used so that personal bias is reduced.

System generated rules are those derived from the outcomes of patients tracked in the system who received known and defined therapies and either improved, stabilized or worsened during a defined period. Because of the large number of potential combinations usable in HIV infection, this system generated database and rules derived from them are likely to encompass data beyond that achievable from objective or subjective rules databases.

The rules which comprise the various knowledge bases (21–24, FIG. 2) of the present invention each have two main parts: a premise and a conclusion—also referred to as the left side and the right side, respectively. When a premise of a rule is found to be true, the action specified in the conclusion is taken. This is known to those of skill in the art as “firing” the rule. For example, consider the following rule:

Rule ID	Premise	Conclusion
FiltDComA1 - -	If the eval therapy contains ddC -	Commentary 18

The premise of the above rule is for the inference engine to determine whether or not a therapy being evaluated (i.e., “eval therapy”) contains the antiretroviral drug “ddC”. If a therapy does contain the antiretroviral drug ddC, the action called for by the conclusion of the rule is to attach “Commentary 18” to the therapy. Commentary 18 may be a piece of text that provides a user with the necessary information about therapies containing ddC.

Exemplary rules which may comprise one or more knowledge bases according to the present invention are listed below in Table 3.

TABLE 3

Therapy initiation/change: Rules that provide information on therapy change or initiation	
Boundary condition rules: Limits for values, intervals for values to be updated	
Comment Data Aging rules: These rules warn the user that the data in certain fields is getting old and that the most current values in the system will be used.	
Rules that filter therapies due to drug interactions in ARV drug combinations	
Rules that filter therapies due to medical conditions	
Rules that filter therapies due to genotypic mutations in patient’s plasma HIV	
Rules that filter therapies due to phenotypic sensitivity/resistance	
Antiretroviral therapy ranking rules	
General dosage rules	
Solid dosage rule	
Dosage modifications due to ARV-ARV drug combination	
Dosage modification due to ARV-NonARV interaction	
Dosage modification due to medical condition	
Comment determined	
General commentary rules	
Commentaries added due to medical conditions	
Commentaries added due to drug interactions	
Commentaries added due to drug combination	
Delivery size rules	

Using the various knowledge bases and patient information of the present invention (21–25, FIG. 2), the inference engine (26, FIG. 2) can evaluate potential therapy options for a patient based on a patient’s medical history (including therapy history) and current laboratory values. Accordingly multiple antiretroviral drug combinations can be quickly and

accurately analyzed for a particular patient. Furthermore, the inference engine can quickly provide guidance in the areas listed below in Table 4.

TABLE 4

Data Integrity	Is the patient lab and assessment data getting too old to be considered reliable? Are there conflicts between lab data such as phenotype data which indicates resistance to one or more antiretroviral drugs in the patient’s current therapy and current viral load data which indicates significant viral suppression?
Therapy Performance	Should antiretroviral therapy be initiated for the patient? Is the patient’s current therapy achieving good initial and long-term viral suppression or should the therapy be changed? Are there potential non-compliance issues as demonstrated by a lack of viral suppression with a regimen when current genotype or phenotype data does provide explanation for the failure by demonstrating resistance to any drugs in the patient current therapy?
Dosage	What are the base and adjusted dosages of antiretroviral drugs in a given therapy? Are there any special specific dosage administration instructions? What are options if patient can only take liquid dosage forms? Which antiretroviral drugs can be used with each other and what dosage adjustments are required? Are there any contraindications or interactions between antiretroviral drugs in patient’s current therapy or potential therapies and the non-antiretroviral drugs patient is taking and if so what are they and what, if any, dosage adjustments are required?
Contra-indications	Are there any medical conditions to be aware of in deciding an appropriate therapy for patient? What, if any, effect do current or historical medical conditions have on each therapy option?
Medical Conditions	How much does each therapy option cost? What is the dosing frequency of the drugs in the therapy? What is the pill count and optimum delivery size for the least number of pills?
Drug Cost and Delivery Data	What are all the drug combination therapy options for patient? How can physician instantly assess which of the hundreds of potential combinations will be the most effective for patient? What information from the package inserts from each drug apply specifically to patient? What is the relative antiviral efficacy of each therapy? Are there special considerations that might make one therapy more or effective for patient?
Therapy Options	What drugs are patient’s virus current genotypic or phenotypic profile known to be associated with resistance to? Which antiretroviral drugs are more effective against resistant strains when used together? Which drugs (if any) used in historical therapies are most likely to be effective if recycled into a new therapy? Can any of the drugs in patient’s current therapy be recycled into the next therapy?
Resistance	

User Interface

Referring now to FIGS. 4–9, exemplary user interfaces according to the present invention will be illustrated. In FIG. 4, a medical history user interface 50 for entering data about a patient’s medical history according to the present invention is illustrated. The medical history user interface 50 can be displayed by activating the “Medical History” tab 50a. The illustrated medical history user interface 50 allows a user to create, save, update and print patient records. When a user adds a new patient, the medical history user interface 50 appears with empty data entry fields. Data entry fields for

receiving information via a GUI are well known to those of skill in the art and need not be described further herein. When a user opens a patient record for editing, the medical history user interface **50** appears with patient data in the various fields. Preferably color is used to highlight critical or required information in a patient record.

Important elements in the illustrated medical history user interface **50** include a “print” button **51** for printing a patient record and therapeutic treatment regimen details; a “save” button **52** for saving a patient record; and a “speed entry” check box **53** for allowing a user to move quickly between entry fields. In addition, there are multiple group headings **54** that divide a patient’s medical history into related categories. Each group contains entry fields in which a user can add patient information. An “add” button **55** allows a user to add new information to a patient record for a selected group. A “delete” button **56** allows a user to delete patient information for a selected group (although the original information is still recorded in the database). A “history” button **57** allows a user to review a patient’s historical data for each selected group.

After completing a patient’s medical history, an inference engine analyzes the data and suggests whether a therapeutic treatment regimen is indicated; if an existing therapeutic treatment regimen should be continued or changed; and the best drug therapies for the selected patient. Often, more than one drug therapy is presented to the user. These drug therapies are preferably ranked according to expected efficacy, frequency in dosage, pill count, and cost. All of these factors can help the user make a decision about what therapy to use for the selected patient. When a user clicks on a drug therapy in the presented list, information is provided about the dosage regimens. Also, various warnings, such as drug interaction warnings, and notes about each drug, are presented. An appropriate drug therapy can then be selected.

In FIG. 5, an exemplary user interface chart **60** for monitoring a patient’s condition during a particular drug therapy over a period of time is illustrated. The user interface chart **60** can be displayed by activating the “Chart” tab **60a**. The illustrated user interface chart **60** tracks the CD4 level against viral load. Along the left-hand side of the Y-axis **61** the CD4 count is plotted. Along the right-hand side of the Y-axis **61** the viral load count is plotted. The lines **62** represent the CD4 test and the viral load test as would be understood by those having skill in the art. Drug therapy for a time period is indicated within the area of the chart user interface **60** indicated as **63**. Time is plotted along the X-axis **64**, as illustrated.

In FIG. 6, a therapy evaluation user interface **70** that facilitates evaluation of various therapy options with respect to relative efficacy, dosage, frequency, cost, medical complications and drug interactions is illustrated. The therapy evaluation user interface **70** can be displayed by activating the “Therapy Evaluation” tab **70a**. Important elements in the illustrated therapy evaluation user interface **70** include an “Evaluate Current Therapy” button **71** for initiating an evaluation of a current therapy and a “Current Therapy” field **72** that lists a patient’s current therapy. Detailed information about a patient’s therapy is displayed in the therapy details box **73**. A therapy displayed within box **73** is identified in box **74**.

Multiple check boxes **75** are provided that allow a user to control how information is displayed within the therapy evaluation user interface **70**. Within the therapy list box **76**, a list of available therapies for a patient can be displayed. In the illustrated embodiment the drugs are listed in standard

abbreviated form. Other information displayed with each drug may include that listed below in Table 5.

TABLE 5

Efficacy Rating	Lists the therapy according to expected effectiveness only, regardless of patient specific considerations (1 is most effective).
Adjusted Score	This number uses the Efficacy Rating as a base and then the system adjusts it up or down based on patient specific conditions (1 is most effective).
Safety Considerations	A brief two or three word summary of the alerts associated with the therapy.
Frequency Pills	Lists the dosage frequency (q12h, q24h, etc.). Lists the total number of pills required per day for the complete regimen.
Cost	Lists the total cost of the regimen per day.
Medical Alert	Displays a Y if there is one or more Yellow Medical Alerts and an R if there is one or more Red Medical Alerts associated with the therapy.
Drug Interaction	Displays a Y if there is one or more Yellow Drug Interaction Alerts and an R if there is one or more Red Drug Interaction Alerts associated with the therapy.

A list of available antiretroviral drugs is displayed within box **77**. A user desiring to evaluate a particular combination of drugs can click the appropriate check boxes **77a** to review information in the therapy details box **73**. A “Use as Current Therapy” button **78** allows a user to apply a particular therapy to a patient. Various hyperlinks **79** within the therapy details box **73** allow a user to display specific information about a therapy evaluation. For example, a user can be allowed to view a rule which is associated with the displayed text.

Resistance evaluation alerts **80** can be provided adjacent each available antiretroviral drug displayed within box **77**. For example, a blue “G” icon can be used to indicate that a patient’s last genotype test contains mutations which are known to be associated with full or partial resistance to the antiretroviral drug. A red “P” icon can be used to indicate that a patient’s last phenotype test demonstrates resistance to the antiretroviral drug.

Within the therapy list box **76**, various symbols (described in FIG. 7) can be utilized to provide information about a drug therapy option. These symbols provide an instant graphical warning level for each therapy option. Some symbols, such as a red exclamation point, indicate that there is critical, possibly life threatening information in the therapy details box **73** for that therapy which must be read in order for that therapy to be properly utilized.

When a drug therapy from the therapy list box **76** is selected by a user for evaluation, the therapy details box **73** of FIG. 6 can be displayed in “full screen” mode as illustrated in FIG. 8. Important elements in the illustrated therapy details box **73** include an identification box **73a** for identifying the therapy being evaluated; a “Use as Current Therapy” button **78** that allows a user to apply a particular therapy to a patient; and a “Show Therapies” button **73b** that returns the therapy details box **73** back to half-screen size as illustrated in FIG. 6. In addition, various hyperlinks may be embedded within text displayed within the therapy details box **73** that can be activated by a user to display various types of information. Eye catching alert banner(s) **73c** and text **73d** can be displayed at the top of the therapy details box **73** as illustrated. Dosages **73e** of each drug, along with special administration instructions, can be displayed within the therapy details box **73** as illustrated. Dosage adjustment information **73f** and various warnings and advisories **73g** can also be displayed within the therapy details box **73** as illustrated.

According to a preferred embodiment of the present invention, therapeutic treatment regimens are not displayed to a user if an invalid drug is selected for treatment of a patient.

Physicians Desk Reference®

According to a preferred embodiment of the present invention, the Physicians Desk Reference® (PDR®) 28, which is a known drug reference source, is fully integrated with the system 20 of FIG. 2. Users can access the PDR® drug abstracts for antiretroviral drugs listed in the therapy list box 76 of the therapy evaluation user interface 70 of FIG. 6. In addition, users can access the PDR® on-line Web database to obtain additional information about a specific drug or to research a substitute for a contraindicated drug. When a user selects a drug within the therapy list box 76 of the therapy evaluation user interface 70, a web browser preferably is launched and the PDR® on-line Web database is accessed. Information can also be extracted from the PDR® on-line Web database to provide drug selection lists for non-antiretroviral drugs that a patient may be taking and to define relationships between brand name and generic drugs.

As illustrated in FIG. 9, a PDR® pop-up menu 90 may be provided that can be activated from within the therapy list box 76 of the therapy evaluation user interface 70 of FIG. 6. From the PDR® pop-up menu 90 a user can access various information from the PDR® including, but not limited to, drug abstracts, and generic components contained within a brand name drug.

The following non-limiting examples illustrate various aspects of the present invention. These examples are provided for illustrative purposes only, and are not intended to be limiting of the invention.

EXAMPLE 1

Example 1 will be explained with reference to FIGS. 10A–10D. Referring to FIG. 10A, a medical history user interface 50 containing evaluated data for patient “demo1” is illustrated. The group heading “Hemoglobin” 54a has changed colors to indicate to a user that the patient has an abnormally low hemoglobin value from a previous (historical) blood sampling. When the therapy evaluation tab 70a is activated to display the therapy evaluation user interface 70 (FIG. 10B) the associated medical condition warning of a history of anemia and the caution notification if using drugs known to be associated with hematopoietic toxicity is triggered as illustrated in the therapy details box 73 of FIG. 10B.

In addition, the group heading “Renal Function” 54b in FIG. 10a has changed colors to warn a user of potential renal dysfunction and is also indicated by the low estimated creatinine clearance rate in field F1 (which the system calculates using a mathematical formula taking patient age, sex, weight, and serum creatinine values—all of which are fields of the “Medical History” user interface 50). This information is pointed out to the user and is used if dosage adjustments are required for drugs that are known to be affected (cleared) by renal function.

Current and the next most recent CD4⁺ cell count and viral load are displayed (F2, medical history user interface 50). This information is also used to determine when to start or change therapy and to evaluate the initial antiviral efficacy of a newly administered antiviral regimen.

Current and historical values for all fields in the medical history user interface 50 (FIG. 10A) can be viewed by pressing the “H” button beside fields that have this button.

In FIG. 10C, the “Chart” user interface 60 has been activated. HIV RNA (viral load) is plotted on a log scale, the CD4 count is plotted on a linear scale, and the drug treatments are shown as Gantt bars on the horizontal date scale at the bottom of the chart user interface 60.

In FIG. 10D, the “Change Therapy Recommendation” message box MB1 pops up when the “Therapy Evaluation” tab 70a is selected. This box represents the processing of the data from the “Medical History” tab and the knowledge base output, including objective rules derived from published treatment guidelines, indicating that initiation of therapy, or a change of therapy in this case, may be called for if the other variable(s) indicated in the message have been addressed.

The list of available therapies and associated ranking order may be shown within the therapy details box 73 of FIG. 10B. This represents the output of the knowledge base for therapy selection. Included with the list of therapies can be any of the following: safety advisories (dosage adjustment, drug interaction, etc.) with a yellow triangle or red exclamation warning symbols; number of pills; daily cost of all three drugs; dosing regimen (q 8h, q 12 h, etc.); and dosages for all drugs in a regimen (including dosage adjustments if necessary) and pertinent information specific to the patient is listed in the dialog box.

EXAMPLE 2

Example 2 will be explained with reference to FIGS. 11A–11E, and relates to patient file “ARV naive1” which is an example of an HIV-infected patient who has not been treated with anti-HIV drugs previously. In FIG. 11A, a medical history user interface 50 containing evaluated data for patient “ARV naive1” is illustrated. In FIG. 11B, when the “Therapy Evaluation” tab 70a is activated to display the therapy evaluation user interface 70, a “Boundary and Prequalification Messages” message box MB2 pops up indicating that according to the current, published, HIV treatment guidelines, the patient should be initiated on antiviral therapy and that the current guidelines recommend combinational therapy.

In FIG. 11C, the therapy evaluation user interface 70 has been activated and demonstrates features/functions associated with therapy evaluation including a general warning W1 and advisories A1, A2, and A3 for the patient related to treatment of the disease (e.g., whether therapy should be initiated or changed) or related to a specific therapy selected from the list box which is being evaluated by the user.

FIG. 11D illustrates various information that is displayable by clicking on an individual therapy in the therapy list box 76 of FIG. 11C. Information displayed includes dosages of all drugs with general and patient-specific warnings and advisories.

The features available by right clicking on any therapy listed in the therapy list box 76 of FIG. 11C are illustrated in FIG. 11E and include: linking to an electronic PDR® to show drug package insert information or perform drug search information; showing or hiding columns of information displayed within the therapy list box; linking to a publication or abstract associated with a therapy that has a “book” icon associated therewith; and various printing functions.

EXAMPLE 3

Example 3 will be explained with reference to FIGS. 12A–12C, and relates to patient file “Features1” which illustrates some important functions/features that a system

according to the present invention can provide for highly drug experienced patients who may have developed resistance associated with the use of several antiviral drugs. Features, including functions attributed to the new resistance and historical therapy rules are illustrated and includes:

- 1) Potential drug resistance advisories (A1, FIG. 12A) when the chart tab 60a is activated, or (A2, FIG. 12B) when the therapy evaluation tab 70a is activated;
- 2) The heads up “P” and “G” indicators (I1 and I2, FIG. 12B) to remind of phenotypic or genotypic resistance associated with certain anti-HIV compounds as demonstrated for this patient (including indication of expected/anticipated genotypic resistance, as a result of cross-resistance, to a drug that a patient may not be taking currently or has not previously taken);
- 3) The drug interaction warning system (indicated by warning W3, FIG. 12C). Warning W3 is for the interaction between Nevirapine and rifabutin (which was selected from the list of non-antiretroviral drugs available as part of the medical history user interface 50). The drug interaction warning message may be viewed from the medical history user interface 50 by “right-clicking” the non-ARV title bar 54C, which has turned yellow indicating the presence of an ARV-nonARV drug interaction. This information is also prominently displayed for the user on the therapy evaluation user interface 70 as a text message (W3, FIG. 12B) as well as in the “Safety Considerations” section of the drug list box (76, FIG. 12B); and
- 4) The chart user interface 60 (FIG. 12A) illustrates the viral load, CD4, drug therapies, and associated drug resistance in graphic form for the user to evaluate.

The foregoing is illustrative of the present invention and is not to be construed as limiting thereof. Although a few exemplary embodiments of this invention have been described, those skilled in the art will readily appreciate that many modifications are possible in the exemplary embodiments without materially departing from the novel teachings and advantages of this invention. Accordingly, all such modifications are intended to be included within the scope of this invention as defined in the claims. Therefore, it is to be understood that the foregoing is illustrative of the present invention and is not to be construed as limited to the specific embodiments disclosed, and that modifications to the disclosed embodiments, as well as other embodiments, are intended to be included within the scope of the appended claims. The invention is defined by the following claims, with equivalents of the claims to be included therein.

That which is claimed is:

1. A method for guiding the selection of a therapeutic treatment regimen for a patient with a known disease or medical condition, said method comprising:

- (a) providing patient information to a computing device comprising:
 - a first knowledge base comprising a plurality of different therapeutic treatment regimens for said disease or medical condition;
 - a second knowledge base comprising a plurality of expert rules for evaluating and selecting a therapeutic treatment regimen for said disease or medical condition;
 - a third knowledge base comprising advisory information useful for the treatment of a patient with different constituents of said different therapeutic treatment regimens; and
- (b) generating in said computing device a ranked listing of available therapeutic treatment regimens for said patient; and

(c) generating in said computing device advisory information for one or more therapeutic treatment regimens in said ranked listing based on said patient information and said expert rules.

2. A method according to claim 1, further comprising the steps of:

(d) entering a user-defined therapeutic treatment regimen for said disease or medical condition that is not included in said first knowledge base;

(e) generating in said computing device advisory information for said user-defined combination therapeutic treatment regimen.

3. A method according to claim 1, further comprising the steps of:

(f) entering a non-recommended therapeutic treatment regimen for said disease or medical condition that is included in said first knowledge base but not recommended from said ranked listing; and

(g) generating in said computing device advisory information for said non-recommended therapeutic treatment regimen, said advisory information including at least one reason for non-recommendation of said therapeutic treatment regimen.

4. A method according to claim 1, said patient information comprising gender, age, weight, CD4 information, viral load information, HIV genotype and phenotype information, hemoglobin information, neuropathy information, neutrophil information, pancreatitis, hepatic function, renal function, drug allergy and intolerance information.

5. A method according to claim 1, said patient information including prior therapeutic treatment regimen information.

6. A method according to claim 1, wherein said patient information includes prior patient information stored in said computing device.

7. A method according to claim 1, said advisory information including:

warnings to take the patient off a contraindicated drug before initiating a corresponding therapeutic treatment regimen; and

information clinically useful to implement a corresponding therapeutic treatment regimen.

8. A method according to claim 1, wherein said computing device comprises a fourth knowledge base comprising patient therapeutic treatment regimen history, said advisory information including previous therapeutic treatment regimen information extracted from said fourth knowledge base.

9. A method according to claim 7, wherein said known disease or medical condition is HIV-1 infection, said therapeutic treatment regimen includes antiretroviral drugs, and said therapeutic treatment regimen includes contraindicated or potentially adversely interacting non-antiretroviral drugs.

10. A method according to claim 7, wherein said therapeutic treatment regimen includes a protease inhibitor, and said contraindicated drug is terfenadine.

11. A method according to claim 7, wherein said therapeutic treatment regimen includes indinavir and said contraindicated drug is cisapride.

12. A method according to claim 1, wherein said known disease or medical condition is one where multiple prophylactic or therapeutic treatment regimens are available to be used singly or in combination in the treatment of said disease.

13. A method according to claim 1, wherein said known disease or medical condition is a cardiovascular disease.

14. A method according to claim 1, wherein said known disease or medical condition is a pulmonary disease.

15. A method according to claim 1, wherein said known disease or medical condition is a neurologic disease.

16. A method according to claim 1, wherein said known disease or medical condition is cancer.

17. A method according to claim 1, wherein said known disease or medical condition is a urinary tract infection.

18. A method according to claim 1, wherein said known disease or medical condition is hepatitis.

19. A method according to claim 1, wherein said known disease or medical condition is HIV-1 infection.

20. A method according to claim 1, wherein said first knowledge base comprises a plurality of different combination therapeutic treatment regimens.

21. A method according to claim 1, wherein drug dosage information is recommended and adjusted if necessary depending upon said patient information.

22. A method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of:

(d) accessing, via said computing device, information for one or more therapeutic treatment regimens from a drug reference source.

23. A system for guiding the selection of a therapeutic treatment regimen for a patient with a known disease or medical condition, said system comprising:

(a) a computing device comprising:

a first knowledge base comprising a plurality of different therapeutic treatment regimens for said disease or medical condition;

a second knowledge base comprising a plurality of expert rules for selecting a therapeutic treatment regimen for said disease or medical condition;

a third knowledge base comprising advisory information useful for the treatment of a patient with different constituents of said different therapeutic treatment regimens; and

(b) means for providing patient information to said computing device;

(c) means for generating in said computing device a ranked listing of therapeutic treatment regimens for said patient; and

(d) means for generating in said computing device advisory information for one or more therapeutic treatment regimens in said ranked listing based on said patient information and said expert rules.

24. A system according to claim 23, further comprising:

(e) means for entering a user-defined therapeutic treatment regimen for said disease or medical condition that is not generated or displayed via said first knowledge base;

(f) means for generating in said computing device advisory information for said user-defined combination therapeutic treatment regimen.

25. A system according to claim 23, further comprising:

(f) means for entering a non-recommended therapeutic treatment regimen for said disease or medical condition that is included in said first knowledge base but not recommended from said ranked listing; and

(g) means for generating in said computing device advisory information for said non-recommended therapeutic treatment regimen, said advisory information including at least one reason for non-recommendation of said therapeutic treatment regimen.

26. A system according to claim 23, said patient information comprising gender, age, weight, CD4 information, viral load information, HIV genotype and phenotype information, hemoglobin information, neuropathy

information, neutrophil information, pancreatitis, hepatic function, renal function, drug allergy and intolerance information.

27. A system according to claim 23, said patient information including prior therapeutic treatment regimen information.

28. A system according to claim 23, wherein said patient information includes prior patient information stored in said computing device.

29. A system according to claim 23, said advisory information including:

warnings to take the patient off a contraindicated drug before initiating a corresponding therapeutic treatment regimen; and

information clinically useful to implement a corresponding therapeutic treatment regimen.

30. A system according to claim 23, wherein said computing device comprises a fourth knowledge base comprising patient therapeutic treatment regimen history, said advisory information including previous therapeutic treatment regimen information extracted from said fourth knowledge base.

31. A system according to claim 29, wherein said known disease or medical condition is HIV-1 infection, said therapeutic treatment regimen includes antiretroviral drugs, and said therapeutic treatment regimen includes contraindicated or potentially adversely interacting non-antiretroviral drugs.

32. A system according to claim 29, wherein said therapeutic treatment regimen includes a protease inhibitor, and said contraindicated drug is terfenadine.

33. A system according to claim 29, wherein said therapeutic treatment regimen includes indinavir and said contraindicated drug is cisapride.

34. A system according to claim 23, wherein said known disease or medical condition is one where multiple prophylactic therapeutic treatment regimens are available to be used singly or in combination in the treatment of said disease or medical condition.

35. A system according to claim 23, wherein said known disease or medical condition is a cardiovascular disease.

36. A system according to claim 23, wherein said known disease or medical condition is a pulmonary disease.

37. A system according to claim 23, wherein said known disease or medical condition is a neurologic disease.

38. A system according to claim 23, wherein said known disease or medical condition is cancer.

39. A system according to claim 23, wherein said known disease or medical condition is a urinary tract infection.

40. A system according to claim 23, wherein said known disease or medical condition is hepatitis.

41. A system according to claim 23, wherein said known disease or medical condition is HIV-1 infection.

42. A system according to claim 23, wherein said first knowledge base comprises a plurality of different combination therapeutic treatment regimens.

43. A system according to claim 23, wherein drug dosage information is recommended and adjusted if necessary depending upon said patient information.

44. A system according to claim 23, further comprising:

(e) means for accessing, via said computing device, information for one or more therapeutic treatment regimens from a standard drug reference source.

45. A computer program product for guiding the selection of a therapeutic treatment regimen for a patient with a known disease or medical condition, said computer program product comprising a computer usable storage medium having computer readable program code means embodied in the medium, the computer readable program code means comprising:

- (a) computer readable program code means for generating:
 a first knowledge base comprising a plurality of different therapeutic treatment regimens for said disease or medical condition;
 a second knowledge base comprising a plurality of expert rules for selecting a therapeutic treatment regimen for said disease or medical condition;
 a third knowledge base comprising advisory information useful for the treatment of a patient with different constituents of said different therapeutic treatment regimens; and
- (b) computer readable program code means for providing patient information;
- (c) computer readable program code means for generating a ranked listing of available therapeutic treatment regimens for said patient; and
- (d) computer readable program code means for generating advisory information for one or more therapeutic treatment regimens in said ranked listing based on said patient information and said expert rules.
- 46.** A computer program product according to claim **45**, further comprising:
- (e) computer readable program code means for entering a user-defined therapeutic treatment regimen for said disease or medical condition that is not generated or displayed via said first knowledge base;
- (f) computer readable program code means for generating advisory information for said user-defined combination therapeutic treatment regimen.
- 47.** A computer program product according to claim **46**, further comprising:
- (g) computer readable program code means for entering a non-recommended therapeutic treatment regimen for said disease or medical condition that is included in said first knowledge base but not recommended from said ranked listing; and
- (h) computer readable program code means for generating advisory information for said non-recommended therapeutic treatment regimen, said advisory information including at least one reason for non-recommendation of said therapeutic treatment regimen.
- 48.** A computer program product according to claim **45**, said patient information comprising gender, age, weight, CD4 information, viral load information, HIV genotype and phenotype information, hemoglobin information, neuropathy information, neutrophil information, pancreatitis, hepatic function, renal function, drug allergy and intolerance information.
- 49.** A computer program product according to claim **45**, said patient information including prior therapeutic treatment regimen information.
- 50.** A computer program product according to claim **45**, wherein said patient information includes prior patient information.
- 51.** A computer program product according to claim **45**, said advisory information including:
 warnings to take the patient off a contraindicated drug before initiating a corresponding therapeutic treatment regimen; and

information clinically useful to implement a corresponding therapeutic treatment regimen.

52. A computer program product according to claim **45** wherein said computer readable program code means comprises computer readable program code means for generating a fourth knowledge base comprising patient therapeutic treatment regimen history, said advisory information including previous therapeutic treatment regimen information extracted from said fourth knowledge base.

53. A computer program product according to claim **51**, wherein said known disease or medical condition is HIV-1 infection, said therapeutic treatment regimen includes anti-retroviral drugs, and said therapeutic treatment regimen includes contraindicated or potentially adversely interacting non-antiretroviral drugs.

54. A computer program product according to claim **51**, wherein said therapeutic treatment regimen includes a protease inhibitor, and said contraindicated drug is terfenadine.

55. A computer program product according to claim **51**, wherein said therapeutic treatment regimen includes indinavir and said contraindicated drug is cisapride.

56. A computer program product according to claim **45**, wherein said known disease or medical condition is one where multiple prophylactic therapeutic treatment regimens are available to be used singly or in combination in the treatment of said disease or medical condition.

57. A computer program product according to claim **45**, wherein said known disease or medical condition is a cardiovascular disease.

58. A computer program product according to claim **45**, wherein said known disease or medical condition is a pulmonary disease.

59. A computer program product according to claim **45**, wherein said known disease or medical condition is a neurologic disease.

60. A computer program product according to claim **45**, wherein said known disease or medical condition is cancer.

61. A computer program product according to claim **45**, wherein said known disease or medical condition is a urinary tract infection.

62. A computer program product according to claim **45**, wherein said known disease or medical condition is hepatitis.

63. A computer program product according to claim **45**, wherein said known disease or medical condition is HIV-1 infection.

64. A computer program product according to claim **45**, wherein said first knowledge base comprises a plurality of different combination therapeutic treatment regimens.

65. A computer program product according to claim **45**, wherein drug dosage information is recommended and adjusted if necessary depending upon said patient information.

66. A computer program product according to claim **45**, further comprising:

(e) computer readable program code means for accessing information for one or more therapeutic treatment regimens from a standard drug reference source.



US006081786C1

(12) EX PARTE REEXAMINATION CERTIFICATE (7058th) United States Patent

Barry et al.

(10) Number: US 6,081,786 C1

(45) Certificate Issued: Sep. 15, 2009

(54) SYSTEMS, METHODS AND COMPUTER PROGRAM PRODUCTS FOR GUIDING THE SELECTION OF THERAPEUTIC TREATMENT REGIMENS

(75) Inventors: David W. Barry, Chapel Hill, NC (US); Carolyn S. Underwood, Cary, NC (US); Bruce J. McCreedy, Raleigh, NC (US); David D. Hadden, Durham, NC (US); Jason L. Lucas, West Chester, PA (US)

(73) Assignee: Advanced Biological Laboratories, SA, Luxembourg (LU)

Reexamination Request:

No. 90/010,313, Oct. 10, 2008
No. 90/009,204, Oct. 14, 2008

Reexamination Certificate for:

Patent No.: 6,081,786
Issued: Jun. 27, 2000
Appl. No.: 09/283,702
Filed: Apr. 1, 1999

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Provisional application No. 60/080,629, filed on Apr. 3, 1998.

(51) Int. Cl. G06F 19/00 (2006.01)

(52) U.S. Cl. 705/3; 705/2; 706/45; 706/46; 706/47; 706/924

(58) Field of Classification Search None
See application file for complete search history.

(56) References Cited

PUBLICATIONS

Shortliffe, E.H., "Mycin: A Rule-Based Computer Program for Advising Physicians Regarding Antimicrobial Therapy Selection," (Ph.D. thesis, Stanford University) (on file with Mathematical and Computer Sciences Library, Stanford University), Stanford Artificial Intelligence Laboratory Memo AIM-251, Computer Science Department Report No.

STAN-CS-74-465, National Technical Information Service (NTIS) Technical Report No. AD-A001 373 (1974).

Kulikowski, C.A. and Weiss, S.M., "Representation of Expert Knowledge for Consultation: The Casnet and Expert Projects," in *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, pp. 21-55, (Peter Szolovits ed., Westview Press, Boulder, CO) (1982).

Miller, P.L. and Black, H.R., "Medical Plan-Analysis by Computer: Critiquing Pharmacologic Management of Essential Hypertension," *Computers and Biomedical Research* 17:38-54 (1984).

Siepmann, J.P. and Bachman, J.W., "HTN-APT: Computer Aid in Hypertension Management," *Journal of Family Practice* 24:313-316 (1987).

Evans, R.S., et al., "Development of an Automated Antibiotic Consultant," *M.D. Computing: Computers in Medical Practice* 10:17-22 (1993).


Pazzani, M., et al., "CTSHIV: A Knowledge-Based System For the Management of HIV-infected Patients," in *Intelligent Information Systems*, pp. 7-13 (Hojjat Adeli ed., IEEE Computer Society, Los Alamitos, CA) (1997).

(Continued)

Primary Examiner—Deandra M Hughes

(57) ABSTRACT

Systems, methods and computer program products for guiding selection of a therapeutic treatment regimen for a known disease such as HIV infection are disclosed. The method comprises (a) providing patient information to a computing device (the computer device comprising: a first knowledge base comprising a plurality of different therapeutic treatment regimens for the disease; a second knowledge base comprising a plurality of expert rules for selecting a therapeutic treatment regimen for the disease; and a third knowledge base comprising advisory information useful for the treatment of a patient with different constituents of the different therapeutic treatment regimens; and (b) generating in the computing device a listing (preferably a ranked listing) of therapeutic treatment regimens for the patient; and (c) generating in the computing device advisory information for one or more treatment regimens in the listing based on the patient information and the expert rules.



OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Hickman, D.H., et al., "The Treatment Advice of a Computer-Based Cancer Chemotherapy Protocol Advisor," *Annals of Internal Medicine* 103:928-936 (1985).
- Langlotz, C.P., et al., "A Therapy Planning Architecture That Combines Decision Theory and Artificial Intelligence Techniques," *Computers and Biomedical Research* 20:279-303 (1987).
- Evans, R.S., et al., "A Decision Support Tool for Antibiotic Therapy," *1995 Proceedings of the Annual Symposium on Computer Applications in Medical Care*, pp. 651-655 (1995).
- Degoulet, P., et al., "Computer-assisted Techniques for Evaluation and Treatment of Hypertensive Patients," *American Journal of Hypertension* 3:156-163 (1990).
- Perry, C.A., "Knowledge bases in medicine: a review" *Bulletin of the Medical Library Association* 78:271-282 (1990).
- Nienow, J.R., Spach, D.H., Hooton, T.M., and Collier, A.C., "Antiretroviral Therapy," in *The HIV Manual: A Guide to Diagnosis and Treatment*, pp. 60-88 (David H. Spach & Thomas M. Hooton eds., Oxford University Press, New York) (1996).
- Shafer, R.W. and Merigan, T.C., "Editorial: New Virologic Tools for the Design and Analysis of Clinical Trials," *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 171:1325-1328 (1995).
- Bartlett, J.G., *Medical Management of HIV Infection* (available as Internet pages archived on Jun. 1, 1997): • http://web.archive.org/web/19970601005020/www.hopkins-aids.edu/jhas_htmlcode/jhas_book/jhas_book.html • http://web.archive.org/web/19970601005936/www.hopkins-aids.edu/jhas_htmlcode/jhas_book/D.MedMgt.Ch01.FM.html • http://web.archive.org/web/19970601005953/www.hopkins-aids.edu/jhas_htmlcode/jhas_book/D.MedMgt.Ch02.FM.html • http://web.archive.org/web/19970601010004/www.hopkins-aids.edu/jhas_htmlcode/jhas_book/D.MedMgt.Ch03.FM.html • http://web.archive.org/web/19970601010016/www.hopkins-aids.edu/jhas_htmlcode/jhas_book/D.MedMgt.Ch04.FM.html • http://web.archive.org/web/19970601010035/www.hopkins-aids.edu/jhas_htmlcode/jhas_book/D.MedMgt.Ch05.FM.html
- http://web.archive.org/web/19970601010059/www.hopkins-aids.edu/jhas_htmlcode/jhas_book/D.MedMgt.Ch06.FM.html • http://web.archive.org/web/19970601010059/www.hopkins-aids.edu/jhas_htmlcode/jhas_book/D.MedMgt.Ch07.FM.html
- Bartlett, J.G., *Medical Management of HIV Management of HIV Infection* (Port City Press, Baltimore) (1997).
- Rule-Based Expert Systems: The Mycin Experiments of the Stanford Heuristic Programming Project (Bruce G. Buchanan & Edward H. Shortliffe eds., Addison-Wesley Publishing) (1984).
- James P. Siepmann & John W. Bachman, *HTN-APT: computer aid in hypertension management*, J FAM PRACT. Mar. 1987, 24(3):313-6.
- Michael Pazzani et al., *CTSHIV: A knowledge-based system for the management of HIV-infected patients*, 1997 Intelligent Information Systems, IIS '97 Proc. 7-13.
- Perry L. Miller & Henry R. Black, *HT-Attending: Critiquing the Pharmacologic Management of Essential Hypertension*, 1983 IEEE The Seventh Annual Symposium on Computer Applications in Medical Care. 824-27.
- Gilad J. Kuperman et al., *Help: A Dynamic Hospital Information System* (Helmuth F. Orthner ed., Spring-Verlag New York) (1991).
- I. Vlahavas et al., *IDIS-KS: an intelligent drug information system as a knowledge server*. 1997 Stud Health Technol Inform. 43, Pt A:368-72.
- Public Health Service Guidelines for the Management of Health-Care Worker Exposures to HIV and Recommendations for Postexposure Prophylaxis*, Virginia Epidemiology Bulletin 98(3):1-8 (Elizabeth Barrett ed. Mar. 1998).
- Homer Warner, Jr. et al., *New computer-based tools for empiric antibiotic decision support*. 1997 Proc Amia Annu Fall Symp. 1-5.
- Y. K. Zhao et al., *Design and development of an expert system to assist diagnosis and treatment of chronic hepatitis using traditional Chinese medicine*. Med Inform (Lond.) Jan.-Mar. 19(1):37-45 (1994).

1
EX PARTE
REEXAMINATION CERTIFICATE
ISSUED UNDER 35 U.S.C. 307

NO AMENDMENTS HAVE BEEN MADE TO
THE PATENT

2

AS A RESULT OF REEXAMINATION, IT HAS BEEN
DETERMINED THAT:

5 The patentability of claims 1-66 is confirmed.

* * * * *



US006081786C2

(12) EX PARTE REEXAMINATION CERTIFICATE (7457th) United States Patent

Barry et al.

(10) Number: **US 6,081,786 C2**

(45) Certificate Issued: **Apr. 13, 2010**

(54) **SYSTEMS, METHODS AND COMPUTER PROGRAM PRODUCTS FOR GUIDING THE SELECTION OF THERAPEUTIC TREATMENT REGIMENS**

(75) Inventors: **David W. Barry**, Chapel Hill, NC (US);
Carolyn S. Underwood, Cary, NC (US);
Bruce J. McCreedy, Raleigh, NC (US);
David D. Hadden, Durham, NC (US);
Jason L. Lucas, West Chester, PA (US)

(73) Assignee: **Advanced Biological Laboratories, SA**,
Luxembourg (LU)

Reexamination Request:

No. 90/010,600, Jul. 31, 2009

Reexamination Certificate for:

Patent No.: **6,081,786**
Issued: **Sep. 15, 2009**
Appl. No.: **09/283,702**
Filed: **Apr. 1, 1999**

Reexamination Certificate B1 6,081,786 issued Jun. 27, 2000

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Provisional application No. 60/080,629, filed on Apr. 3, 1998.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G06F 19/00 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **705/3; 705/2; 706/45; 706/46; 706/47; 706/924**

(58) **Field of Classification Search** **705/3**
See application file for complete search history.

(56) References Cited

PUBLICATIONS

Francis Lau, "A clinical decision support system prototype for cardiovascular intensive care," Int'l J. of Clinical Monitoring and Computing, 11:157-69 (1994).

Ong Lean Suan, "Computer-Aided Diagnosis and Treatment of Malaria: The IMEX System," Comp. Biol. Med. No. 5, 361-72 (1990).

Nienow, et al., "Antiretroviral Therapy, in The HIV Manual; A Guide to Diagnosis and Treatment," p. 60-88 (D.H. Spach and T.M. Hooten eds., Oxford University Press (1996).

Claudia A. Perry, "Knowledge bases in medicine: a review," Bulletin of the Medical Library Association 78:271-82 (1990).

Piotr Windyga, et al., "Knowledge-based approach to the management of serious arrhythmia in the CCU," Med. & Biol. Eng'g & Computing, 29:254-60 (May 1991).


Gert Jan Van Heijst, et al., "Foundations for a methodology for medical KBS development," Knowledge Acquisition 6, 395-434 (1994).

"Rule-Based Expert Systems: The MYCIN Experiments of the Stanford Heuristic Programming Project" (Bruce Buchanan & Edward Shortliffe eds., Addison-Wesley Publishing (1984).

Primary Examiner—Deandra Hughes

(57) ABSTRACT

Systems, methods and computer program products for guiding selection of a therapeutic treatment regimen for a known disease such as HIV infection are disclosed. The method comprises (a) providing patient information to a computing device (the computer device comprising: a first knowledge base comprising a plurality of different therapeutic treatment regimens for the disease; a second knowledge base comprising a plurality of expert rules for selecting a therapeutic treatment regimen for the disease; and a third knowledge base comprising advisory information useful for the treatment of a patient with different constituents of the different therapeutic treatment regimens; and (b) generating in the computing device a listing (preferably a ranked listing) of therapeutic treatment regimens for the patient; and (c) generating in the computing device advisory information for one or more treatment regimens in the listing based on the patient information and the expert rules.



1
EX PARTE
REEXAMINATION CERTIFICATE
ISSUED UNDER 35 U.S.C. 307

NO AMENDMENTS HAVE BEEN MADE TO
THE PATENT

2

AS A RESULT OF REEXAMINATION, IT HAS BEEN
DETERMINED THAT:

5 The patentability of claims 1-66 is confirmed.

* * * * *