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Impedance mismatch: Optical Transmission vs. Computation

Original chart from Scientific American, 2001
Support – Andrew Odlyzko 2003, and NSF Cyber-Infrastructure Jan 2006

DWDM- fundamental miss-balance between computation and communication
5 Years – x10 gap, 10 years- x100 gap
Waste Bandwidth

“A global economy designed to waste transistors, power, and silicon area -and conserve bandwidth above all- is breaking apart and reorganizing itself to waste bandwidth and conserve power, silicon area, and transistors."

George Gilder Telecosm

> Despite the bubble burst – this is still a driver
  • It will just take longer
The “Network” is a **Prime Resource for Large-Scale Distributed System**

Integrated SW System Provide the “Glue”

Dynamic optical network as a fundamental **Grid service** in data-intensive Grid application, to be **scheduled**, to be managed and **coordinated** to **support collaborative operations**
From Super-computer to Super-network

> In the past, computer processors were the fastest part
  • peripheral bottlenecks

> In the future optical networks will be the fastest part
  • Computer, processor, storage, visualization, and instrumentation - slower "peripherals"

> eScience Cyber-infrastructure focuses on computation, storage, data, analysis, Work Flow.
  • The network is vital for better eScience
Cyber-Infrastructure for e-Science: Vast amounts of Data– Changing the Rules of the Game

• PetaByte storage – Only $1M

• CERN - HEP – LHC:
  • Analog: aggregated Terabits/second
  • Capture: PetaBytes Annually, 100PB by 2008
  • ExaByte 2012
  • The biggest research effort on Earth

• SLAC BaBar: PetaBytes

• Astrophysics: Virtual Observatories - 0.5PB

• Environment Science: Eros Data Center (EDC) – 1.5PB, NASA 15PB

• Life Science:
  • Bioinformatics - PetaFlops/s
  • One gene sequencing - 800 PC for a year
Crossing the Peta \((10^{15})\) Line

- **Storage size, comm bandwidth, and computation rate**
  - Several National Labs have built Petabyte storage systems
  - Scientific databases have exceeded 1 PetaByte
  - High-end super-computer centers - 0.1 Petaflops
    - will cross the Petaflop line in five years
  - Early optical lab transmission experiments - 0.01 Petabits/s
    - When will cross the Petabits/s line?
## e-Science example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application Scenario</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>Network Issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt – Pt Data Transfer of Multi-TB Data Sets</td>
<td>![Copy from remote DB: Takes ~10 days (unpredictable)] ![Store then copy/analyze]</td>
<td>![Want &lt;&lt; 1 day &lt;&lt; 1 hour, innovation for new bio-science] ![Architecture forced to optimize BW utilization at cost of storage]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access multiple remote DB</td>
<td>![N* Previous Scenario]</td>
<td>![Simultaneous connectivity to multiple sites] ![Multi-domain] ![Dynamic connectivity hard to manage] ![Don’t know next connection needs]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote instrument access (Radio-telescope)</td>
<td>![Cant be done from home research institute]</td>
<td>![Need fat unidirectional pipes] ![Tight QoS requirements (jitter, delay, data loss)]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other Observations:**

- Not Feasible To Port Computation to Data
- Delays Preclude Interactive Research: Copy, Then Analyze
- Uncertain Transport Times Force A Sequential Process – Schedule Processing After Data Has Arrived
- No cooperation/interaction among Storage, Computation & Network Middlewares
- Dynamic network allocation as part of Grid Workf l ow, allows for new scientific experiments that are not possible with today’s static allocation
Grid Network Limitations in L3

> Radical mismatch between the optical transmission world and the electrical forwarding/routing world

> Transmit 1.5TB over 1.5KB packet size

\[ \Rightarrow \] 1 Billion identical lookups

> Mismatch between L3 core capabilities and disk cost

• With $2M disks (6PB) can fill the entire core internet for a year

> L3 networks can’t handle these amounts effectively, predictably, in a short time window

• L3 network provides full connectivity -- major bottleneck

• Apps optimized to conserve bandwidth and waste storage

• Network does not fit the “e-Science Workflow” architecture

Prevents true Grid Virtual Organization (VO) research collaborations
Lambda Grid Service

Need for Lambda Grid Service architecture that interacts with Cyber-infrastructure, and overcome data limitations efficiently & effectively by:

• treating the “network” as a primary resource just like “storage” and “computation”
• treat the “network” as a “scheduled resource”
• rely upon a massive, dynamic transport infrastructure: Dynamic Optical Network
• finesse the control of bandwidth across multiple domains
• while exploiting scalability and intra-, inter-domain fault recovery
• thru layering of a novel SOA upon legacy control planes and NEs
Bird’s eye View of the Service Stack
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Summary

- Cyber-infrastructure – for emerging e-Science
- Realizing Grid Virtual Organizations (VO)
- Lambda Data Grid
  - Communications Architecture in Support of Grid Computing
  - Middleware for automated network orchestration of resources and services
  - Scheduling and co-scheduling or network resources
Back-up
Generalization and Future Direction for Research

> Need to develop and build services on top of the base encapsulation

> Lambda Grid concept can be generalized to other eScience apps which will enable new way of doing scientific research where bandwidth is “infinite”

> The new concept of network as a scheduled grid service presents new and exciting problems for investigation:
  • New software systems that is optimized to waste bandwidth
    • Network, protocols, algorithms, software, architectures, systems
  • Lambda Distributed File System
  • The network as a Large Scale Distributed Computing
  • Resource co/allocation and optimization with storage and computation
  • Grid system architecture
  • enables new horizon for network optimization and lambda scheduling
  • The network as a white box, Optimal scheduling and algorithms
Enabling new degrees of App/Net coupling

> Optical Packet Hybrid
  • Steer the herd of elephants to ephemeral optical circuits (few to few)
  • Mice or individual elephants go through packet technologies (many to many)
  • Either application-driven or network-sensed; hands-free in either case
  • Other impedance mismatches being explored (e.g., wireless)

> Application-engaged networks
  • The application makes itself known to the network
  • The network recognizes its footprints (via tokens, deep packet inspection)
  • E.g., storage management applications

> Workflow-engaged networks
  • Through workflow languages, the network is privy to the overall “flight-plan”
  • Failure-handling is cognizant of the same
  • Network services can anticipate the next step, or what-if’s
  • E.g., healthcare workflows over a distributed hospital enterprise
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Example: Lightpath Scheduling

> Request for 1/2 hour between 4:00 and 5:30 on Segment D granted to User W at 4:00

> New request from User X for same segment for 1 hour between 3:30 and 5:00

> Reschedule user W to 4:30; user X to 3:30. Everyone is happy.

Route allocated for a time slot; new request comes in; 1st route can be rescheduled for a later slot within window to accommodate new request.
Scheduling Example - Reroute

> Request for 1 hour between nodes A and B between 7:00 and 8:30 is granted using Segment X (and other segments) is granted for 7:00

> New request for 2 hours between nodes C and D between 7:00 and 9:30 This route needs to use Segment E to be satisfied

> Reroute the first request to take another path thru the topology to free up Segment E for the 2nd request. Everyone is happy

Route allocated; new request comes in for a segment in use; 1st route can be altered to use different path to allow 2nd to also be serviced in its time window
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